

Sheriff Mack -- Unanswered Letters 15 -- for Ron



By Anna Von Reitz

Sheriff Mack took the question of whether or not Sheriffs of incorporated counties (operating on the international jurisdiction of the sea) could legally enforce The Constitution (as part of their job) all the way to the Supreme Court and the answer was yes, they could. But, that in no way makes it a mandate. It merely means that individuals like Sheriff Mack himself could freely decide whether or not to enforce The Constitution (and presumably other Organic Laws) in their counties.

For truly "Constitutional" Sheriffs enforcing the Constitution and the other Organic and Public Laws is the entire focus of their job assignment, not an afterthought or personal choice after a long day of code and regulation enforcement.

And therein lies the rub. Sheriff Mack apparently wants the office of sheriff to have the right to pick and choose when to act as a peacekeeper and respect the guarantees of The Constitution, and when to act as a Code Enforcer and use the oppressive plenary power that system gives to the STATE and the OFFICE OF SHERIFF based on the expediency of the moment and the Sheriff's own assessment of what is called for.

I side with the Bible--- no man can serve two masters, and Sheriff Mack's fence-sitting just clouds the issues.

I understand the practical reasons that he and other sheriffs want to retain plenary powers over "citizens" and just pick and choose when to recognize the constitutionally guaranteed rights owed to the American people---- but the fact remains that such a commingling of jurisdictions and such a grant of power based on one's own conscience alone is nowhere to be found in any part of The Constitution or other Founding Documents. It isn't even implied.

The Law of the Land is the Public Law of this country. When corporate employees step foot on our soil, they are obligated to obey it. They aren't empowered to pick and choose when to enforce it and when to ignore it. They aren't authorized to "presume" anything about us, including our political status. And therein lies the additional rub.

The federal corporations and their "federal state" franchises have contrived to establish legalized monopolies of essential governmental services that they are supposed to be providing for us under contract--- and via self-interested and often brainless enforcement activities have extorted compliance with their regulations under conditions of monopoly inducement regardless of anyone's actual political status.

As a result, for example, nearly everyone has been coerced into obtaining a "Driver's License" when in fact most people aren't using the public roads for any private gain and are not actually required to have a DL at all. Then the mere fact that you have a DL is used as the basis of further "presuming" that you are voluntarily accepting the status of a "citizen of the United States"----thereby allowing corporate sheriffs and other "law enforcement personnel" the latitude to arrest, fine, threaten, brutalize, and jail you over statutory infractions like broken tail lights.

Men like Sheriff Mack need to bite the bullet and give up the false powers of legal presumption. They need to admit that it is not their choice of when to obey our Public Law and when to enforce private corporate statutes instead.

See this article and over 200 others on Anna's website here:www.annavonreitz.com
To support this work look for the PayPal button on this website.