

## **Response from Karen Hudes to Judge Anna Von Reitz**

Today, I received a polite and direct response from Karen Hudes, for which I thank her. It may indeed open up a worthwhile dialogue.

Here is her query:

Dear Ms. Von Reitz,

Ferdinand Marcos and Jose Rizal, who established the World Bank and IMF to manage the world's international monetary gold reserves at the end of WWII, distrusted judges. Instead, they established a cooperative of all the nations, headed by their ministers of finance, to manage the world's wealth in the Global Debt Facility on behalf of humanity. The courts have no jurisdiction over the assets in the Global Debt Facility. That was how the Board of Governors of the World Bank and IMF were able to nullify the attempt of the International Court of Justice and CIA to steal the assets in the Global Debt Facility.

<https://s3.amazonaws.com/khudes/Twitter7.18.15.1.pdf>

Did you even know about the National Advisory Council on International Monetary and Economic Policies, where the Secretary of the Treasury, the Secretary of State, and other economic agencies of the US regulate the World Bank? So your advice for the Secretary of State, Secretary of Treasury and President to sue the World Bank was all muddled.

When you accused the County Executives of America of being in receipt of the US monetary gold reserves in the Global Debt Facility, did you have this press release, cleared by the County Executives of America on behalf of the American people, in mind? <https://s3.amazonaws.com/khudes/Twitter7.25.14.pdf> You object to the international monetary gold reserves of the American people being incorporated into their currency, so that they do not have to pay interest on country debt through income taxes? Why is that? When US Presidents tried to do exactly that, they were assassinated (Lincoln and JFK) or shot (Ronald Reagan)

What is happening is that the American people are restoring their Constitutional government under Article V of the Constitution.

Karen Hudes @KarenHudes 2h2 hours ago

US and other countries returning to the control of their populace:

<https://s3.amazonaws.com/khudes/Twitter12.19.15.pdf>

And here is my response:

Ms. Hudes,

I am very glad to see a direct contact from you.

You ask if I am aware of the various attempts of the cabal over the past hundred years to obtain control over the Global Debt Facility at the same time that you must be fully aware that the cabal has had control from the start? How do you think the gold got there? Who do you think set up the IMF? Or for that matter, the UN

Corporation, which was established several years prior to the United Nations Charter?

I have done something very few Americans have done. I have read the complete incorporation papers of the International Monetary Fund. I know exactly who incorporated it, where it was incorporated, and for what purposes. Against that backdrop it does not take rocket science to see (nor to prove) that the entire operation was hijacked almost from the start and used to promote benefits for the banks and politicians rather than the people of this planet.

One of the things I would like to address with you is what I will call a "cognitive dissonance" that perplexes me. You truly do seem to care about people and to be offended by the injustice surrounding you, and yet, like a steel ball bearing ping-pong around inside a pinball machine, you go from one bad answer to another. It's as if you really do want to do something right, but keep getting misled or confused.

In order to understand my viewpoint, you must walk through a bit of readily verifiable history with me.

The Definitive Treaty of Peace often called the Treaty of Paris 1783 ended the American Revolution. In Article III you will see that two separate populations are living in the former colonies at that time----- the "free sovereign and independent people of the United States" and the "Inhabitants"----- British Loyalists and British Subjects allowed to remain in order to provide "essential governmental services" (Article IV, Section 3, Clause 2 of The Constitution for the united States of America). Those services all pertain to the international jurisdiction of the sea and result from King George acting as the Trustee for Americans on the High Seas and Inland Waterways and retaining his position as "prince of the United States" in that jurisdiction.

The two groups still exist.

The federal government together with its incorporated franchises calling themselves "Counties" and "States" are foreign with respect to the "free sovereign and independent people of the United States" to whom the gold is owed---- as foreign as Ireland is from Spain. We have our own unincorporated counties and states and form a completely different body politic operating on the jurisdiction of the land, not the sea.

Does it make any sense to give gold that is owed to Ireland to Spain? Does it make any sense to give gold that is owed to "the free sovereign and independent people of the United States" to the "inhabitants"?

I think that with a little more review of the matter, you will agree that giving our gold to employees of a private, for-profit, mostly foreign-owned governmental services corporation franchise makes as much sense as giving our money to a Finlander employed to shovel our sidewalks and that such an act in no way relieves the World Bank from its fiduciary responsibility to the actual beneficiaries.

Ms. Hudes, as you must be aware, the "federal government" has never been a sovereign government of any kind. It is an association of fifty sovereign states acting in unison with regard to certain explicitly enumerated functions of government. Since the Civil War the federal government of the United States has

been operated by a series of governmental services corporations with the members of the "United States Congress" functioning as a Board of Directors and having the same exact same nature and standing as any other international commercial corporation.

The gold is owed to the organic states from which it came and to the living people who are the heirs and beneficiaries. Gold is an asset of the land, Ms. Hudes. It comes out of the ground, even if it is dug up from the bottom of the seabed. It is called a "national asset" rather than an "international asset" as a result. How do you propose to return a national asset owed to the land and its people by giving it to an international organization that exists only in the jurisdiction of the sea and to "inhabitants" who merely reside here as foreigners providing governmental services?

More importantly, where is the authority vested in our Constitution allowing any franchises of the federal government to represent us in any such matter whatsoever?

I can already tell you that no such delegation of authority exists.

On top of everything else, and as everyone in the world knows----except, apparently, the employees of the World Bank----we are currently having a dispute with our "service providers", so giving them our gold on the presumption that they are our employees and are bound to do what is right by us would be an even more tenuous excuse for the World Bank's actions.

At this moment, Ms. Hudes, the federal corporation is insolvent, a fact that I would expect you to know. It has piled up such mountains of toxic, odious debt and continues such profligate and irresponsible spending that nobody will give them credit---- except the World Bank which is apparently willing to extend them our assets? Hello? Day by day they have perniciously destroyed their own credit and then, via the fixed exchange rate established by HJR 192 and the Emergency Banking Act of 1934, have taxed our lawful money without providing us the stipulated remedies for this.

When we delegate authority, Ms. Hudes, with regard to our money and actual assets like gold, we delegate it to deputies----who are sworn fiduciary officers---- not to "representatives". All you are dealing with at any level of the federal corporate structure, including the federal "County" and "State" franchise operations, are unaccountable, untrustworthy subcontractors who are under contract to represent us in certain capacities and doing a flaming bad job of it.

You will note that their entire fiat monetary system is their private corporate system, not ours. Our fifty sovereign nation states have to our detriment continued to honor their request for a fixed exchange rate, but we, the unincorporated States of America and the people owed the land jurisdiction of the United States have bound ourselves long ago to deal only in gold and silver coin and other lawful money however denominatred. See Article I, Section 10. We are in fact the federal corporation's Priority Creditors.

So again, why, would you propose to give our gold to them, if we have not chosen to give it to them ourselves?

In full view of the facts, do you think that the Federal United States and the British Crown have done such a good job for us, that we should be anxious to keep their "services" for another hundred years?

No, Ms. Hudes, the "free sovereign and independent people of the United States" have been misrepresented, mischaracterized, abused and unequally yoked. If you give our gold to dishonest men merely claiming to represent us in this matter, you and the World Bank remain indebted to us for the entire value of the asset plus all losses we incur as a result of your actions.

I will also point out to you that in spite of all their poor-mouthing about budget deficits, these same charlatans are simply not paying their debts to more honest governments, which reflects poorly on us--- as they are supposed to represent us in matters of foreign trade.

Since 1946 the federal corporation has adopted an accounting system pioneered by Easy Eddie O'Hara--- Al Capone's bookkeeper. This "double accrual" system is more commonly known as keeping two sets of books. They split their income stream into "budgeted" and "non-budgeted" accounts and except indirectly never reveal their true income. This has led to the accrual of vast slush funds disguised as "future obligation trusts" and "employee pension funds" and "university" and "special development funds" and so on. A forensic audit of any one of the federal "States" reveals the staggering amounts of credit these thieves have purloined and cashiered away for their rainy days----all based on our labor and our assets without repaying a dime to us.

Not to put too sharp a point on it, Ms. Hudes, but the federal corporation and its various "county" and "state of state" franchises have operated as criminal syndicates on our shores. There isn't a reason in this world that we would ever consent to your generous impulse to give our gold to them, nor consider such an action a payment to us.

I trust that this now fully explains why you have once again gone astray and why you must make every effort to become aware of the actual facts and history governing our relationship with the Federal United States.

-----Judge Anna Maria Riezinger (aka Anna von Reitz)

See this article and over 100 others on Anna's website here: [www.annavonreitz.com](http://www.annavonreitz.com)