

Hold the Presses! This is Incredible 1.0



By Anna Von Reitz

I have a statement on my desk attributed to former Democratic Senator Tom Harkin of Iowa. He retired in 2015 after twenty years service in the U.S. Congress, ten years in the House, ten years in the Senate. So he should know what he is talking about, right?

He is still alive so far as I can discover, so maybe someone in Iowa can track him down and ask if he actually said the things that have been reported to me and ask him to make additional comment, because what I am about to discuss is nothing less than astounding.

According to these statements sitting here on my desk this morning, Senator Harkin believes the following quotes. My explanatory notes and answers appear in brackets []:

1. Senator Harkin: [The Internal Revenue Code] "or any other constitutional or federal provision [is/are null and void because] "those authorities fell with the loss of our national money standard in 1933." ----that is, because the (Territorial) United States went off the gold standard.

[Obviously not, Senator, because the Municipal United States continued to function and the Internal Revenue Service continued to click along. Changing from the gold standard to the silver standard in commerce in 1933 could not possibly have any such international treaty consequence. Both forms of money, gold and silver, are actual money and they both pay debts which the American States and People continued to pay for stipulated services under conditions of contract assumption.

Federal Codes established by the old service corporation may have been vacated, but if so, the members of the U.S. Congress failed to serve Public Notice of those facts, and continued to publish and use those same Federal Codes--- which means that just as the American People were "assumed" to bind themselves to the new service providers by process of assumption, the U.S. Congress is "assumed" to bind themselves to the provisions of the Federal Code they have published and used as a basis for suing people in courts all over this country. Anything less results in institutionalized constructive fraud on the part of the members of the U.S. Congress in 1933 and every year since then.]

2. Senator Harkin: "Since 1933, the people have formed a new unincorporated United States in trust by their silence in accepting the loss of their ability for paying their debts at law."

[We must immediately ask --- "Which 'people'? The actual living people of this country, or the invisible fictional "persons" of the Territorial United States? Because the States and People who actually own this country continued to pay their debts all along and have no reason to believe in the existence of any unwritten or implied "United States" trust.

The bankruptcy in 1933 ruptured the assumed service contract with "United States of America, Incorporated" and ultimately led to the demise of that corporation in 1999, but so what? If a subcontractor goes bankrupt the only affect on the Principal is to hire new

subcontractors or extend additional duties to already existing subcontractors --- which in this case meant, historically, the UNITED STATES, INC. taking over.

Also, there is no such thing as a totally "unincorporated" trust. Trusts may be corporate or incorporated, but they have to have substance and form and a written indenture in order to exist. There are no Zombie Apocalypse States or States of States or Commonwealths in our country or anywhere else.

Senator Harkin's belief ----if indeed he said all this -- that some kind of un-stipulated public trust exists then or now is straight out of the realm of fantasy and has no basis in law or fact.

There are unincorporated state trusts, but they are not some airy-fairy merely "presumed to exist" construct. Our unincorporated state trusts are formed by declarations, not constitutions. Those declarations may take different forms -- they may be compacts, they may be sovereign letters patent, they may be commonwealth treatises --- but they all have definite written hold-in-your-hand provisions that include public trust indentures. All of them.

Stop a moment and think about what this purported statement by Senator Harkin implies --- the members of the U.S. Congress think that our States don't really exist or have substance, because they are not incorporated? That's like denying the existence of chickens because you broke an egg. Our States are the authorities that charter all their States of States and if the members of the U.S. Congress missed that fact in Grammar School, we are all in a heap of trouble. You cannot ever have a "State of Florida" without first having a "Florida" --- does everyone grasp that fact?]

3. Senator Harkin then quotes *Russell v. Allen*, 107 U.S. 163, 27 L.Ed. 397: "The United States Government may be the trustee of a charitable trust."

[Well, I "may" act as a fan dancer, too, Senator. But in the absence of evidence, we cannot presume that I occupied that capacity, can we? Or any other "potential" capacity --- dog catcher, soothsayer, or rocket scientist. I might act as the trustee of a charitable trust, too. Might. Or might not. This is crazy stuff, right out of Loony-Tunes Central.... The Trust to which the delegated powers return by Operation of Law is and has always been The United States of America, Unincorporated, which was formed and announced to the public by The Continental Congress, September 9, 1776, and to all the State Trusts functioning under their statehood compacts, letters patent, commonwealth treatises, etc. If this is not perfectly obvious to the members of the "U.S. Congress" it is still perfectly obvious to the rest of us.]

I literally can't believe what I just read. If this two-page statement by Senator Harkin is legitimate, and if this is representative of the level of understanding among members of Congress, our Ship of State has been lost at sea for over a hundred years, captained by pirates and crewed by imbeciles.

And that discussion was just the first paragraph of this statement. There's more. Unfortunately. But I must stop and draw breath....

Dear Mr. President Trump: there is no need for any vacuous supposition. The occasion of any service provider going bankrupt results in the delegated powers returning to The United States of America, Unincorporated. We were not given Notice by the International Trustees that they were unable to make provisions for new service providers (or were incompetent to do so) and in fact, new service providers readily came forward and assumed the service obligations and have been paid for those services since 1868.

You can see that the obligations of contract by assumption swing both ways, and that any failure by the U.S. Congress to recognize this fact (such as their obligation to honor the entire Federal Code so long as they continue to publish and reference it) results in constructive fraud against the sovereign States and People of this country and is in fact, treason.

On this occasion with the failures of both the corporate Territorial and corporate Municipal service corporations, we have said --- "Enough." and have made public our non-assumption of contract and have also published our acknowledgement and acceptance of the returned Delegated Powers.

It is now your turn to educate the members of Congress about life in the actual world and tell them that there is no implied United States trust charitable or otherwise available for the United States Government to (possibly) administer. Andrew Jackson sold off the unincorporated United States as a business in 1836 and used the proceeds to pay off all debts owed by The United States of America, Unincorporated.

All variations of "United States" incorporation(s) since that time have been completely foreign operations acting under assumed contracts, both Municipal and Territorial --- and aside from being responsible to obey and execute the constitutional agreements they were assuming, never had any authority, business connections, or any other rights, titles, or interests related to this country or its people.

We are now calling for the "Internal Revenue Service" to vacate our shores for lack of valid contract and lack of evidence that any valid Municipal or Territorial PERSONS exist. We also remind the American Armed Forces that if they want to work for us, they need a new contract. And as for the "United States" meaning the British Territorial United States and Municipal United States -- we are your Priority Creditors, and that is set in cement.

Finally, as for the Office of the "US Attorney General" ---that whole mess needs to be straightened out along with dismissal of any claims that Americans "voluntarily" donated their babies as chattel "alien property" --- ASAP. Thank you, very much.

See this article and over 1300 others on Anna's website here:
www.annavonreitz.com

To support this work look for the PayPal button on this website.