

Exhibit 8a, The Clearfield Doctrine

All courts were dissolved in 2008 under the Clearfield doctrine then became registered companies on Dunn and Bradstreet company search. When governments enter the world of commerce, they are subject to the same burdens as any private firm or corporation **U.S v Burr** 309 U.S 22. See 22, U.S CA 286 e **Bank of US v Planters Bank of Georgia** 6L Ed (Wheat 244.)

NOTE: Under the Clearfield Doctrine, the courts are no longer government entities in that they are demanding private monies and must have a contract with you to compel performance.

They are no more special as a normal business than your local Jack In The Box.

Clearfield Doctrine — “private commercial paper is used by corporate government, then government loses its sovereignty status and becomes no different than a mere private corporation”.

Governments create and enforce CIVIL LAWS known as statutes, acts, and legislation created by the Bar Association (set up by Rothschilds) which are duty bound to comply with the LAW of CONTRACTS. The Law of Contracts requires signed written agreements and complete transparency! Governments Have Descended to the Level of Mere Private Corporations Clearfield Doctrine Supreme Court Annotated Statute, **Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States** 318 U.S. 363–371 1942, Whereas defined pursuant to Supreme Court Annotated Statute: **Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States** 318 U.S. 363–371 1942:

“Governments descend to the level of a mere private corporation, and take on the characteristics of a mere private citizen...where private corporate commercial paper [Federal Reserve Notes] and securities [checks] is concerned. For purposes of suit, such corporations and individuals are regarded as entities entirely separate from government.” What the Clearfield Doctrine is saying is that when private commercial paper is used by corporate government, then government loses its sovereignty status and becomes no different than a mere private corporation. As such, government then becomes bound by the rules and laws that govern private corporations which means that if they intend to compel an individual to some specific performance based upon its corporate statutes or corporation rules, then the government, like any private corporation, must be the holder-in-due-course of a contract or other commercial agreement between it and the one upon who demands for specific performance are made. And further, the government must be willing to enter the contract or commercial agreement into evidence before trying to get the court to enforce its demands, called statutes.

This case is very important because it is a 1942 case.

Exhibit 8a, that was decided after the UNITED STATES CORPORATION COMPANY filed its “CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION” in the State of Florida (July 15, 1925). And it was decided AFTER the ‘corporate government’ agreed to use the currency of the private corporation, the FEDERAL RESERVE. The private currency, the Federal Reserve Note, is still in use today. References:

(i) Articles of Incorporation of UNITED STATES CORPORATION COMPANY:

<http://anticorruptionsociety.files.wordpress.com/2014/01/articles-of-incorporation-of-u-scorp-company.pdf>

(ii) From The Great American Adventure by Judge Dale, retired. (pages 93-94):

<http://anticorruptionsociety.files.wordpress.com/2013/07/the-great-american-adventure-complete-work-by-judge-dale.pdf> [4]

Corporations are not and can never be SOVEREIGN. They are not real, they are a fiction and only exist on paper. [5] Therefore, all laws created by these government corporations are private corporate regulations called public law, statutes, codes, and ordinances to conceal their true nature.

Do the Judge and your lawyer know about this? You bet they do! [6] Since these government bodies are not SOVEREIGN, they cannot promulgate or enforce CRIMINAL LAWS; they can only create and enforce CIVIL LAWS, which are duty bound to comply with the LAW of CONTRACTS.

The Law of Contracts requires signed written agreements and complete transparency! Did you ever agree to be arrested and tried under any of their corporate statutes? For that matter, did you ever agree to contract with them by agreeing to be sued for violating their corporate regulations?

[8] Enforcement of these corporate statutes by local, state and federal law enforcement officers are unlawful actions being committed against the SOVEREIGN public and these officers can be held personally liable for their actions. [**Bond v. U.S.**, 529 US 334-2000] (iii) Our Government is Just Another Corporation:

<http://anticorruptionsociety.com/>

is-our-government-just-another-corporation.

CLEARFIELD DOCTRINE

CLEARFIELD DOCTRINE by ZJ Free

All courts in this State and every other State of the Union, operate under the "Clearfield Doctrine" from the case of **Clearfield Trust Co. v. US**, 318 US 363, (1943).

This case explains the Clearfield Doctrine as this:

"Governments descend to the level of a mere private corporation and takes on the character of a mere private citizen [where private corporate commercial paper {Federal Reserve Notes} are concerned]...." "For purposes of suit, such corporations and individuals are regarded as an entity entirely separate from government." **Bank of US v. Planters Bank**, 9 Wheaton (22US) 904, 6L.Ed. 24. {Added}

The definition of "money" becomes extremely relevant once the above is known and understood. So, the question is now "What is the substance of the money used by the government entity coming against you?" "MONEY" as defined in the Constitution for the several States united at Article I, section 10, clause 1 or "MONEY" as defined in the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) adopted by your state legislature? In Michigan, see Michigan Compiled Laws section 440.1101, et seq., under definitions. The UCC itself states that its definitions are controlling over dictionary definitions.

When any State agency comes against you, normally no Constitutional arguments can be allowed since it is presumed that you are dealing, knowingly, voluntarily and willfully, in the commercial law of contracts, implied or written. In that "State" (State of the Forum), you loose all protections of both State and Federal Constitutions. If this is your first encounter with the term "State" be advised that it does not mean the geographical area that you live in. The term "state" has at least seven (7) meanings in most dictionaries. Please look it up in a Black's Law Dictionary and you will find there is even a great difference between the defined meanings of the words "State" and "state". The political, colorable, corporate "State" does not appear as a word in the Holy Bible. However, the word State does come from the Greek root word "stato" which means "to stand". A State is something that stands stationary, fixed or established. "Establishments" exist only in the common thoughts created and sustained in the minds of men. It is a "legal fiction" or a "fiction of law". A State is a corporate fiction existing purely in thought. Corporations, and similar creations, are all fictions made up in men's minds. Mere imagination! Fictional, meaning neither natural nor existing of themselves. LIES. They exist by the mutual agreement of the thoughts of men. Likewise a State exists only in the minds of men. It is not the real state, that being the physical ground and the real people located thereupon. The State cannot be seen, talked to, harmed, injured, damaged, touched, made love to, moved to tears or write you a letter. It has all of the emotions of today's computer. It is a political creation designed to protect and/or control a certain object. It is not a Biblical concept.

END
