

## Dear Bobby, ....



By Anna Von Reitz

Bobby, I don't know who you are, but I feel that you deserve some honest answers. So I am going to give you what you aren't getting elsewhere.

I just re-published answers I already gave to Jeff Dougherty, who persists in trying to hide his identity behind the nickname "Sun Tzu"--- speaking of "obfuscating": he has pretended that I didn't answer his questions, when they are published on my blog and now as a whole separate article as of this morning: "A Repeat of Answers Already Given".

<http://www.paulstramer.net/2019/03/a-repeat-of-answers-already-given.html>

Let's go over the latest screed of venomous misrepresentation and ignorance point by point. I will reduce the size of his typeface because he is very verbose and wordy and leave my replies in large blue type so you can distinguish them easily.

Dear Bobby,

Thank you for posting on the forums at National Assembly

I discovered your post on the forum and I am responding to you and it.

I agree with some of what you said, Anna Von Reitz is in fact the individual who's writings first WOKE ME UP to the situation at hand. I began working with the Michigan Jural Assembly members as soon as Anna DECLARED, "Michigan Jural Assembly did everything right!" - Those were her exact words.

Yes, Bobby, they even fooled me -- for a time. They had the trees right enough, but not the forest. It turns out that they are bent on trying to assemble a "State of State" and not an actual land jurisdiction State at all. The process of assembling is the same, but the inputs and the end results are very different. In their case, they wind up with a foreign commercial corporation franchise. In our case, we wind up with a functioning State of the Union.

So I worked with members of the MGJA(Michigan Grand Jural Assembly) to discover what it meant to "Peaceably assemble, to redress grievances, and to self represent," the MGJA members helped me understand all of these things. The

MGJA members informed me that I did not have to "repudiate my U.S. citizenship," as it would bring great hardship upon my life. MGJA members did however tell me that if I chose to do so (repudiate citizenship) that I WAS WITHIN MY RIGHT to do so.

Anna's actual answer: I never told anyone to "renounce" or "repudiate" --- you have to "rebut" presumptions by producing superior evidence and you need to "expatriate" from any "presumed" political status as a "US Citizen". These are different actions and they have different results. I have had to repeatedly rescue people who "renounced" their US Citizenship and got stuck in foreign countries, but none of that was on my advice.

However, the MGJA members then put me in touch with other Michigan Grand Jural Members who HAD REPUDIATED THEIR U.S. CITIZENSHIP and the information I learned from them WAS OF GREAT IMPORTANCE.

**Just as Anna Von Reitz has advised, [No-- I never advised anyone to do any of this. It is contrary to what I actually teach on my website. I teach people how to "expatriate"-- not "repudiate" and I have never in my life told anyone to return their BC's or anything else. No such action is necessary--- but changing your political status back to being an American State Citizen or American State National, is.]**

.... in rder to repudiate your citizenship, you send them back your Social Security Card, you send them back all other U.S. government provided identification too (driver's license). Then the MGJA members informed me of what happens when you get pulled over without a driver's license, how the intentionally low IQ hired law enforcement officers do not give a rats butt about any papers you have on you to prove that you have the right to drive without a driver's license. The very presenting of "Documents" to the officer, has in many times alerted that officer that you are a threat, a domestic terrorist known as a sovereign citizen, and anyone paying attention knows that the government has declared sovereign citizens as "Domestic Terrorists."

In order to be a "Domestic Terrorist" you have to be "domestic" with respect to the Federal Government. Neither I nor anyone I teach is "domestic" with respect to the Federal Government. Hello? US Citizens are "domestic" with respect to the Federal Government. American State Citizens are not. Hello, Houston? Hello?

I have NEVER told anyone to do anything like this. Ever. Bobby, are you listening? He is telling you that I said things I never said, and that other people acting upon "my" advice were harmed. What I in fact teach is published on my website and not open to interpretation. I have never once told anyone to "renounce" their US Citizenship. I have taught people how to properly "expatriate" from it and told them why.

There isn't even a tiny bit of truth in anything being told to you, except for the fact that people who try to exit the system the wrong way suffer. Pretending that I suggested any of this is the worst kind of BIG LIE.

The Michigan Grand Jury members I spoke with who had repudiated their U.S. citizenship informed me that upon the law enforcement officer realizing that they had just traffic stopped a "Domestic Terrorist," the MGJA member was then cuffed and stuffed.

Upon refusing to sign the corporation, "United States Inc," paperwork at the local jail, the MGJA members sat in jail for 60 days, which is as long as the U.S. corporation can legally hold someone for driving without a license. Please bare in mind that these were 60 days in jail for FIRST OFFENSE.

This is because despite all their "protest" and "rebellion" and "insurrection" against the "State of State" corporations, they hadn't changed their actual political status, so they were still held to Statutory Law and still considered bound by private contract to that law. Uh-duh.

So, I present you the following evidence.

1) Is a U.S. Citizen protected by the Bill of Rights?

Answer: YES

Anna's actual answer--- No, they are not, and never have been. At best, they are "granted" Equal Civil Rights.

2) Does the First Amendment to the Bill of Rights guarantee the right to, "Peaceably Assemble, to Redress Grievances, and to Self Represent?"

Answer: YES, IT DOES

Anna's actual answer: It gives the right to assemble to Americans, but not to British and Holy Roman Empire "Citizens"---- that is, US Citizens. US Citizens can be arrested for "assembling".

3) Yet Anna says that in order to EXERCISE that right, you need to repudiate your U.S. Citizenship!

Answer: THAT IS FALSE INFORMATION

Anna's actual answer: what I am telling you has been true since the foundations of this country. As of 1787. And it has never changed. The people trying to lead

you are blind as bats and will get you in real trouble, just like the Colorado Nine, who didn't listen to me, either.

FINDINGS:

A) Why would Anna Von Reitz knowingly provide false statements?

Anna's answer: I didn't. It's you that is not being honest. I am telling you the God's Honest and Verifiable Truth. You just aren't accepting it.

B) Anna originally used the title of Judge in her name.

Answer: The Alaska Government HAS NO RECORD of any variations of Anna Von Reitz, aka Anna Reitzinger, aka Anna Von Reitzinger EVER BEING A JUDGE in the State of Alaska.

Anna's actual answer: who ever said I was acting as a Justice or a Judge for any "State of State"??? Can you see the difference between these two things: "State" and "State of State"?

State equals actual State of the Union, geographically defined, physical, actual

State of State equals corporate franchise of a foreign commercial corporation, legal fiction, inchoate, not physical or actual.

Learn the difference so you know what you are talking about before you even open your mouth.

C) Anna originally stated that she was a COMMON LAW JUDGE.

Answer: In order to be a Common Law Judge, Anna Von Reitz would of been required to submit her name for candidacy in a local election in her county of the State of Alaska and she would have been required to get 60 signatures to get her name on the ballot, and then if there was no incumbent, she would have obtained the seat of "JUSTICE OF THE PEACE."

Anna's actual reply: I did "stand for election" --- unopposed as it happened, because nobody else stepped forward into the gap left when our one remaining Justice died of old age. As for any "60 signatures" that applies to "States of States" not States. Our States are now so de-populated thanks to idiots that it would be hard to find sixty knowledgeable jurors in a State the size of Alaska, but thankfully, our States don't require that.

All the things you are "assuming" to apply-- don't. That's because you are operating in a "State of State" framework and mindset instead of being aware of the actual State.

Again, I never said I was representing any "State of State" organization at all. I am occupying the land jurisdiction of a State. Two different things. I have never said otherwise.

This is all people trying to confuse oranges with apples, and apparently on purpose because I have been VERY clear about this from the very beginning.

She did not run for election, nor did she obtain the seat.

That is why when the FBI visited her, they asked her the following questions:

- 1) What Oath did you swear to become a Common Law Judge?
- 2) What is the significance of the 1783 Treat of Peace?

Upon the FBI leaving, she IMMEDIATELY removed her title as "Judge."

Anna's actual reply: The FBI has never "visited" me, never addressed me at all, so it would be hard for them to ask me any questions and also hard for me to make any replies! Ha! The FBI doesn't want to talk to me, and in fact, they are well-aware that it would be inappropriate for them address me.

This is just pure fabricated lies.

And I am still a "Judge" if I act as a Postal District Judge and I am still a "Justice" if I act for a land jurisdiction court.

Learn the verbiage. It matters.

She however did not inform 9 of her students in Colorado to do the same. They were all days later arrested, some of whom are still in jail.


Anna's actual reply: I fought with the leader of the Colorado Nine, Bruce Doucette, for three months prior to the action that led to their arrest, trying to make them see sense and own truth, just like I am doing here. They wouldn't listen to the logic or the facts, so I finally drew a line in the sand and published notice officially ending my association with them. It appears as Article 485 on my website [www.annavonreitz.com](http://www.annavonreitz.com) for anyone who wants to know what I actually told them at the time and why I shook the dust off my feet. I believe the title is "An End to My Association with Bruce Doucette and Michael R. Hamilton".

Hamilton was a Federal Insurance Agent. I am fairly sure he was sent into the group to mislead the members.

Anyway, that Article was published well-before the Colorado Nine took their ignorance and rode off into history. What they did was not on my advice. So count that as ANOTHER BIG LIE being promoted by "Sun Tzu" --using a fake name.

Now I present the final evidence:  
An Interview Anna Von Reitz gave:

<https://www.splcenter.org/hatewatch/2017/12/15/interview-sovereign-judge-anna%E2%80%99s-world>



The screenshot shows a webpage with a navigation bar at the top containing links for 'RESOURCES', 'WHAT WE DO', 'OUR ISSUES', 'HATEWATCH', 'HATE MAP', and a 'DONATE' button. The main content area features a large header image with the title 'Interview with a sovereign: Judge Anna's world'. Below the header, the date 'December 15, 2017' and the author 'by Brendan Joel Kelley' are visible. The article text begins with a paragraph about Anna Maria Riezinger, a self-proclaimed judge and internet guru. A quote from her is highlighted in blue, stating: "You have to go back and reclaim your trade name," she advises. "You have to reconvey it to the soil and the land, both the national and international jurisdiction. You have to go through all this process and all of this development of a public paper trail in order to reestablish who you are."

The above interview given by Anna Von Reitz exposes the true nature of this PSY-OP.

Anna says "You have to go through all this process and all of this development of a public paper trail in order to reestablish who you are."

Answer: FALSE

Anna's actual answer: Yes, you do have to do this, just as I have always taught, and there is no getting around it. They have created "evidence" in the form of Birth Certificates and similar documents that show you have a private contract with their commercial corporations. Until and unless you create "Superior Evidence" rebutting their claims, you are subject to them, to their "State of State" franchises, and all their private law.

What I said in the interview was correct and still is and I stand by it. If it were not true, I would be in jail and if I were not validly a land jurisdiction Justice, I would be arrested for impersonating a judge. These people (Sun Tzu, Destry, MGJA, et alia) are either ignorant or deliberately misleading you.

Anna is attempting to make it difficult for you to represent yourself, she is trying to make you think that it is difficult to exercise your GOD given rights, when all you have to do is pull out your BILL of RIGHTS, dust it off, and read the FIRST AMENDMENT.

Anna's actual answer--- this would be true, if you had any rights guaranteed by the Constitutions, but as a "US Citizen" you have no such rights or guarantees.

Anna says you have to repudiate your U.S. Citizenship.

Answer: FALSE


Anna's actual answer--- see the discussion above about "repudiation" vs. "expatriation".


"United States Citizens" and "Citizens of the United States" were defined by the Constitutions. They are not and never were parties to the Constitutions and do not have constitutional guarantees. This is why when you appear in a US District Court the Judge will tell you (as a US Citizen) that the "Constitution doesn't apply here and I will hold you in contempt if you mention it again". Some patriots are too stupid to get it and won't learn no matter how many times their noses get rubbed in it.

Anna is very effectively performing several tasks:

1) Obviate

define Obviate:



**ob·vi·ate**  
/ˈäbvē, ät/ 

*verb*

remove (a need or difficulty).  
"the Venetian blinds obviated the need for curtains"

*synonyms:* preclude, prevent, remove, get rid of, do away with, get round, rule out, eliminate, make unnecessary, take away, foreclose, avoid, avert, counter  
"the settlement obviated the need for the separate cases to be heard in court"

- avoid; prevent.  
"a parachute can be used to obviate disaster"

Anna is "Obviating" threats, to "Remove threats who would pose a challenge to Corporate United States Inc's RECKLESS OPERATIONS and ENDLESS felony OVER BILLING, there is trillions of dollars more to steal coupled with vast underground resources to steal from the people, vast resources of public lands to SELL, which is a theft against the people as the public lands were constitutionally granted to the people forever, and could not be withheld, sold, or multiple use permit denied.

Anna's actual answer: the only "PERSONS" intent on stealing anything are the foreigners among us, specifically, "US CITIZENS" working for foreign commercial corporations, and as I just published (again) this week, the rotters are intent on trying to set up franchise corporations merely calling themselves "State" ---- as in "MICHIGAN". I published the addresses of the Registered Agents websites to prove to you that this is exactly what the Michigan General Jural Assembly is knowingly or unknowingly promoting --- a commercial corporation calling itself a "STATE"---- not the actual State at all. Be aware and be smart about this. There is a lot at stake.


Anna Von Reitz is protecting the ability to continue THE THEFT via THE GREAT FRAUD, as people rotting in jail cells for driving without a driver's license can hardly be capable of challenging the corporation, "United States Inc," let alone living under a bridge, with no job, because they have no Social Security card, so no one will hire them, and even if they did find work, they have no bank account, and cannot get one, as I said, a person living under a bridge does not pose a threat to the corporation United States.

Anna's actual answer--- look at the above "discussion"---they are trying to make you fearful, and make you bow down to every little demand of their corporations--- and on the other hand, they are trying to convince that you have "rights" as a "US Citizen" that you demonstrably don't have while in that political status, and all the terrible things they describe "living under a bridge" etc. have only happened to who? People stupidly clinging to "US Citizenship"--- and not bothering to reclaim their birthright standing as American State Nationals or American State Citizens! Ask yourself ---if you had any "rights" as a US Citizen, much less constitutionally guaranteed rights, why are the US District Judges telling you right from the bench that you have no constitutional guarantees and that the constitution doesn't even enter in to their court??? Wake up!

## 2) Obfuscate

Anna is making the necessary path "Unintelligible, unclear, or obscured."  
define Obfuscate:

### ob·fus·cate

/ˈäbfə,skāt/ 

*verb*

render obscure, unclear, or unintelligible.

"the spelling changes will deform some familiar words and obfuscate their etymological origins"

*synonyms:* obscure, confuse, make obscure/unclear, blur, muddle, jumble, complicate, garble, muddy, cloud, befog; muddy the waters

"the debate all too often obfuscates the issue"

• bewilder (someone).

"it is more likely to obfuscate people than enlighten them"

*synonyms:* bewilder, mystify, puzzle, perplex, baffle, confound, bemuse, befuddle, nonplus; More



Anna is "Obfuscating," making the path forward unclear and full of; doubt, worry, and concern, for personal well being.

Anna's actual answer: there is nothing "unclear" about what I am saying or what I am teaching. It is very simple. You have been kidnapped into a foreign jurisdiction of the law, where you have no rights and no constitutional guarantees and no property rights, either. You have been purposefully misidentified as a "US Citizen" --- a political status that is foreign to you. You have the option of reclaiming your birthright political status, but you must create a public claim to it by declaring your permanent homestead and domicile on the land and soil of your home State of the Union. There are three simple documents that need to be recorded to do that. You also need to be able to prove that you are the "John Michael Doe" whose actual birthday appears on the Birth Certificate they issued "in your Name" by providing two Witnesses who can connect you to the living baby born that day and time and place. There is nothing too difficult about this, except explaining it to knotheds and fools who cling to their chains and refuse to admit that they have been used and duped.

Anyone with reason knows that they are putting themselves in harms way when they "Repudiate their U.S. Citizenship."


Anna's actual answer: no, you get into trouble when you allow people to misrepresent you as anything but an American State Citizen. It's just the opposite of what these people are selling you. And as many of you have directly experienced--- all these bad things happen to who? Those who are clinging to "US Citizenship" as if it were a great thing. Why would you want the same political status as someone from Puerto Rico? That's what "United States Citizenship" means! Or, alternately, why would you want to subject yourself to the Holy Roman Empire? Most of you aren't even Catholic! That's what "Citizen of the United States" means. Wake up! Wake up! Wake up!

Anna "Obfuscates" when she makes up a WHOLE BUNCH OF THINGS that you NEED TO DO, in order to exercise these God given rights, when all you really had to do was DUST OFF YOUR BILL of RIGHTS.

Anna's actual answer: as any US District Court Judge or Attorney will tell you, US Citizens have no "constitutional rights or guarantees" --- and never have had. Ask yourself, how anyone whose political status was created by the Constitutions, could at the same time be party to the Constitutions? They can't. The plain fact is that no kind of "US Citizen" -- neither Territorial nor Municipal -- has ever had any part of the Constitutions. They are subject to the Constitutions, not those protected by them.

3) Injure  
define Injure:

# in·jure

/ˈɪnjər/ 

*verb*

do physical harm or damage to (someone).

"the explosion injured several people"

*synonyms:* hurt, wound, harm, damage, disable; [More](#)

- suffer physical harm or damage to (a part of one's body).

"he injured his back"

- harm or impair (something).

"a libel calculated to injure the company's reputation"

*synonyms:* harm, damage, impair, undermine, diminish, impede, weaken, enfeeble; [More](#)

Anna is attempting to "Injure" any fully motivated person who would move against the United States Inc corporation.


Anna's actual reply: I don't belong to nor do I suggest that anyone else belong to any commercial corporation or have anything to do with any commercial corporation nor do I advocate that any average person offer to do battle with any commercial corporation. "People" exist in a totally different realm of the law and different jurisdiction than "Persons" and all the injuries that people are suffering are a result of being misidentified as "persons". The "injury" occurs when we leave these corporations and stand as living people again. You must all understand that a "US citizen" of any stripe, literally belongs to either the British Crown Corp (United States Citizens) or to the Pope (Municipal Status). Is that where you want to be? Then, cling to your chains and make no objection to being called a "US Citizen".

It's your choice, after all.

It is difficult for injured prey to mount an efficient, intelligent, and calculated attack against a seemingly all powerful corporation, "United States Inc."

4) Deter

# de·ter

/dɛ'tər/ 

*verb*

discourage (someone) from doing something by instilling doubt or fear of the consequences.

"only a health problem would **deter** him from seeking re-election"

*synonyms:* put off, discourage, dissuade, scare off; [More](#)

- prevent the occurrence of.

"strategists think not only about how to deter war, but about how war might occur"

*synonyms:* prevent, stop, put a stop to, avert, nip in the bud, fend off, turn aside, stave off, ward off, head off, shut out, block, intercept, halt, arrest, check, stay, keep, hinder, impede, hamper, obstruct, balk, foil, thwart, obviate, frustrate, forestall, counteract, inhibit, hold back, curb, restrain, preclude, preempt, save, help; *archaic* let

"the presence of a caretaker deters crime"

Anna is "Deterring" anyone from joining up with other Grand Jury Assembly Members whether it be the Michigan Grand Jury Assembly or any others.

Anna's actual answer--- I am leading people to actually accomplish what they want and need to accomplish, which is the restoration of their lawful government and the assembly of their actual State --- not a commercial franchise calling itself a "STATE".

The leaders of MGJA either don't know their butts from tin cups when it comes to assembling an actual State with actual People, or they are deliberately seeking to create another commercial corporation franchise.

How can anyone imagine that "US Citizens" --- like people from Puerto Rico can come here and populate our States "for us" and restore our government? No, what they would be doing is creating their own government to rule over ours. And we have no reason to support that, do we?

Anna is sewing deviousness between Patriots who are looking for a solution.

Anna's actual answer: I already presented the solution to all this a long time ago, and it stands ready to implement for everyone with the brains to do it.

And THE ANSWER is that our Founding American Forefathers knew that this day would come! They knew the enemy; in the Vatican, the enemy in England, and any other Royal Power that would seek to weaken or destroy the greatest threat to continued world domination would eventually come to steal America from We The People. Thus the Founding American Forefathers ensured that any Constitution coming after the First Constitution, would have to contain all of the original constitution. Although the Bill of Rights is the greatest weapon against the forces of Evil that our Founding Fathers & the Framers gave us to destroy the enemy even in the midst of the DARKEST LONG NIGHT. The weapon, the SILVER BULLET, has been discovered.

Anna's actual answer: US Citizens have no "Bill of Rights". If you doubt that, just continue to call yourself a "US Citizen" and neglect to reclaim your birthright political status and drive over the speed limit.

Our Founding Fathers would roll over in their graves if they knew that we have become so stupid that we can't even read the Constitutions they gave us and understand what they mean.

They would be utterly appalled to know that any American allowed themselves to be conscripted and mislabeled a "US Citizen" of any kind.

All you need to do is follow "The Handbook" as provided by the Michigan Jural Assembly. The Patriots operating the National Assembly and the Michigan Jural Assembly, many of them are former special forces Patriots who served long and hard to protect the people of this nation, and once they

discovered the plot and how far the rabbit hole of death and suffering was dug, they set out to correct the wrongs and liberate We The People from the Evil of a foreign power that had overtaken us.

Anna's actual answer -- the Evil has already overtaken us. Any "Special Forces" are part of the problem and not the answer. We have been the victims of a foreign British-controlled Territorial United States Military operating on our shores (and worldwide) in the same capacity as the British Raj in India. This has been a problem of military ignorance, corruption, and usurpation against the Civilian Government since 1863.

Go back and read the very first "Executive Order" issued by Abraham Lincoln in 1863.

Then submit a letter to the Congressional Research Service or any of the Congressional Delegations and ask if the Reconstruction Acts have been repealed.

They will answer that only a few specific parts of the Reconstruction legislation have been repealed and the vast bulk of it remains in full force and effect.

So now you can see that our own dear military is up to its neck and is at least partly responsible for the problem in the first place---- and when they interfere like this and misrepresent the facts and try to make other people adopt "US Citizenship" akin to their own in ignorance or in guile, they are part of the problem, not the solution.

The "Evil" has already arrived.

It's called being a "presumed citizen of the United States". And if you don't want to be owned by the Queen or the Pope, it's high time you all woke up and smelled the java. I repeat--- "US Citizens" have no constitutional rights and no guarantees. The best they have are "Equal Civil Rights" provided at whim or not, by the members of the Municipal United States Congress acting under Article 1, Section 8, Clause 17.

All other Handbooks, like the one Anna stole and is selling on Amazon, will put you living under a bridge, in jail for 60 days at a time, without a bank account, without the ability to leave the country and gain RE-ENTRY.

However Bobby, I suspect you are also working for the Cabal. Because if you were listening on that National Assembly call when we were discussing Anna Von Reitz, you would have heard the testimony that both I and Others gave, revealing both Anna Von Reitz, and Soaring Spirit as being Crime Syndicate operatives to Obviate, Obfuscate, Deter, and Injure

Anna's actual reply: Have nothing more to do with the Michigan General Assembly or their mistakes. Pay no attention to their fear-mongering and their wrong assertions about "US Citizenship". All the bad things are happening to "US Citizens" --- not American State Nationals, not American State Citizens. The proof is in the pudding.

Please note the egregious and apparently deliberate lies they are spreading?

I "stole" the MGJA "Handbook" by writing a better one, article by article? And publishing it on my website as I wrote it?

Show me where I ever advised anyone to "renounce" their "US Citizenship"? I have told everyone to "expatriate" from it, which is a different thing entirely.

Show me where I ever said I was a "State of State" Judge? I am not confused about who I am, what my political status is, or anything else. Why would I be working as a Hired Jurist for a Commercial Corporation?

Even their lies are ignorant.

Shake the dust from your weary feet, Bobby.

I never ever wanted to be responsible for organizing the States on top of everything else that sits on my plate, but here we are, facing up to that.

The American States Assembly will be organizing actual geographically defined States of the Union. Those who are interested in restoring their lawful government and living their lives as Lawful People, are invited to assist in this great endeavor. It should be understood that: (1) the actual States are unincorporated entities; (2) the actual States have physical borders; (3) the actual States are populated by People who are protected by the Constitutions; (4) the People are all either American State Nationals (who have no obligation to serve the government) or American State Citizens (who agree to serve as Jurors or in other capacities as needed).

The States have nothing to do with any State of States, nor do they have anything to do with any commercial corporation calling itself a "State" or a "STATE".

Finally, Bobby, I want you to notice who they quote-- people who weren't even at the interview that I gave the Southern Poverty Law Center, people who sought to misrepresent what I was saying and what I have been saying for years.

So who are they "representing"? And what?

-----

See this article and over 1600 others on Anna's website here: [www.annavonreitz.com](http://www.annavonreitz.com)  
To support this work look for the PayPal button on this website.