

"When We Find Error"

By Anna Von Reitz



And now, I shall give you an example of what I have been talking about in the last three articles concerning the need of Americans to become better able to sift facts from fictions, and establish the context and meaning of what they read.

I am using the very last section of factual evidence presented in the "Who Founded and Owns Washington, DC?" TABU article as an example of how the interpretation of factual evidence changes. Bear in mind, I am not disputing that this is factual evidence; I am disputing what the factual evidence means --- it's interpretation.

The original text appears in normal font, while my commentary as a Reader follows in bold-face type:

Quote ---"The following 15 points are based upon factual historical evidence:

1st: In 1863, Lincoln instituted martial law. He ordered that the states (people) either conscribe troops and provide money in support of the North or be recognized as an enemy of the nation. This martial law Act of Congress is still in effect **today** – what it means is that the President has dictatorial authority to do anything that can be done by the government in accord with the Constitution of the United States of America. This is the foundation of Presidential Executive Orders.

My comment: Everything Lincoln did and said was in the context of being the "President" of a Corporation, not The President of this country. His very first "Executive Order", General Order 100, otherwise known as The Lieber Code,

went into effect the day before he declared the bankruptcy of his Territorial Crown Corporation doing business as "the United States of America, Incorporated".

All the acts of the Territorial Congress then in Session, including its declaration of Martial Law, can pertain only to the functions of the British Territorial United States, its officers, citizenry, and instrumentalities. Not us. Not the Americans they work for.

This information (above, plain faced type) is about a foreign, British Territorial Corporation, and the actions it took to save itself and maintain order during its insolvency. Although this corporation worked for us as a Federal Subcontractor, its fortunes and administrative "laws" and corporate Public Policies are not ours, are not about us and are not addressed to us; Mr. Lincoln was speaking to his shareholders and creditors and giving instructions to his employees in the form of his "Executive Orders" as the President of a corporation.

At no time did he ever act as The President of The United States of America ---- our unincorporated Federation of States. In fact, Lincoln couldn't ascend to the actual Presidential Office, nor even the Presidency of the Federal Republic, because Lincoln was a Bar Attorney, an Esquire, in receipt of that Title from the Queen, and he was prohibited as all attorneys still are, from holding any Public Office in our Government by the Titles of Nobility Amendment passed by our States of the Union in 1819.

It is in this guise as the President of a foreign corporation Subcontractor that all subsequent "Presidents" have operated and it is only in reference to corporations that such things as "Public Policies" and "Administrative Law" and "Executive Orders" and bankruptcies apply. Unincorporated entities, like our actual Federation of States, are not eligible for bankruptcy protection. So we know for sure that Lincoln's "Union" wasn't ours and we know that he was not occupying our Presidential Office.

And we know that the context of his words and acts and those of the Territorial Congress could logically only refer to their own citizenry and operations, not to ours.

2nd: The District of Columbia Organic Act of 1871 created a private corporation (hereinafter "Corp. U.S.") owned and operated by the actual government for the purpose of carrying out the business needs of the government under martial law. This was done under the constitutional authority for Congress to pass any law within the ten mile square of Washington, District of Columbia.

My comment: This action, The District of Columbia Organic Act of 1871, was undertaken by the British Territorial Congress to establish a new corporation to function as the purveyor of "essential government services" owed to us---- and as their British Crown Corporation, "the United States of America, Inc." was still in reorganization, they structured it as a Municipal Corporation, instead. Now we have the British Territorial U.S. Government with one Crown Corporation in reorganization and one Municipal Corporation, US Corp, just starting up.

In no case is the "District of Columbia" our "actual" government. The District of Columbia is a foreign British Territorial Enclave created to give it a location to conduct its business and organize the services it is under contract to provide to us, the Americans and our States of our Union. The Municipality of Washington, DC, was similarly established to allow the Pope and his Holy Roman Empire Government to have a bit of ground where they could organize to provide such services as Postal Service.

3rd: In said Act, Corp. U.S. adopted their own constitution (United States Constitution), which was identical to the national Constitution (Constitution of the United States of America) except that it was missing the national constitution's 13th Amendment. The national constitution's 13th, 14th and 15th amendments are respectively numbered 14th, 15th and 16th amendments in their constitution.

My Comment: The only Constitution that ever contained the Titles of Nobility Amendment (often called the Original 13th Amendment) is the American Federal Constitution passed in 1787, called "The Constitution for the united States of America". This Constitution passed into disuse because the American Subcontractors that were Party to it were rendered inoperable in 1860 and the Federal Republic this Constitution referenced was never Reconstructed.

There is no "renumbering" involved. The British Territorial Constitution of the United States of America and the Municipal Constitution of the United States never contained the Titles of Nobility Amendment in the first place.

On top of that, the "Constitutions" being discussed here, which were being published as "Constitutions" in 1868 and in 1871--- are both Corporate Charters deceitfully called "Constitutions". As such, they are completely different kinds of documents, existing in a different jurisdiction, serving different purposes, and being subject to different forms of law than the actual and original Constitutions

which are still valid "in original jurisdiction" but largely moth-balled as part of The Great Fraud against us.

Under this scheme, the British Territorial United States owns two corporations, one British Crown Corporation calling itself "The United States of America" [Incorporated] formed in Scotland in 1868, and one Municipal Corporation calling itself "the United States" [INCORPORATED] formed in the District of Columbia a decade later. These Corporations and their "Corporate Constitutions" are used to pull off a Substitution Scheme, similar to a Bait-and-Switch.

The Perpetrators appear to be operating under the original Constitutions, but they are not. The process of amending an actual Constitution, which requires ratification by our States of the Union, is reduced to a by-law amendment process of a corporation charter. This is why, when you look into it, you find that "Congress" operating either as the British Territorial Congress or the Municipal Congress can amend their "Constitutions" at will with no ratification by the States or People.

The 14th so-called Amendment to the Scottish Commercial Corporation "Constitution" was never ratified by the States of the Union, and neither was the 15th, 16th, or any other such "Amendment". All these so-called Constitutional Amendments exist as By-Law Amendments of a commercial corporation, and in this case, the Scottish Commercial Corporation doing business as "The United States of America" --- Incorporated, went bankrupt in 1906 and has been totally defunct since 1913.

The Perpetrators and their progeny who have benefited from this Fraud and Gross Breach of Trust have continued to invoke these Corporate Constitutions and their By-Law Amendments as if they had authority over Americans, when in fact, the only authority these documents ever had was over the officers, shareholders and employees of these commercial corporations and now, all the original corporations that started this Fraud are bankrupted and defunct.

Nonetheless, this Fraud is why the Perpetrators have been at such pains to mischaracterize and misidentify average Americans as U.S. Citizens and as Municipal citizens of the United States --- to create the legal supposition that we are subject to them and their Corporate "Constitutions" instead of them being subject to us and our Constitutions.

4th: The corporation began to generate debts via bonds etc., which came due in 1912, but they could not pay their debts so the 7 families that bought up the bonds demanded payment and Corp. U.S. could not pay. Said

families settled the debt for the payments of all of Corp. U.S.' assets and for all of the assets of the Treasury of the United States of America.

My Comment: As these foreign corporations issued the bonds and created the debts under conditions of fraudulent misrepresentation and non-disclosure, the entire activity and its fruits are criminal in origin. Our Public never owed any bankruptcy protection to these foreign corporations, and yet, we were left to stand as the Security for their debts, and the other Principals who are Signatories to the actual Constitutions and the Treaties underlying the Constitutions, all **sat** mum as posts and did nothing to fulfill their Lawful and Legal obligations to this country and to the American States and People.

These Principals, including the Popes, the British Monarchs, and the Lord Mayors of the Inner City of London, have acted in Gross Breach of Trust and Dereliction of Duty and in violation of their commercial service contracts. They have unjustly enriched themselves while purposefully misrepresenting the entire situation to us, their clueless American Employers, and also to the rest of the world.

To us, they pretended that everything was fine and safe and just and normal and everything related to the American Civil War had been Reconstructed and settled long ago ---- while they continued to carry on this Mercenary Conflict on our shores and pillaged and plundered our people and our natural resources while taking their paychecks and pensions from our pockets.

To the rest of the world, they pretended that we, Americans, and our original American Government, were mysteriously "absent, presumed to be in interregnum" and using this pathetic excuse for their own dishonesty and lack of disclosure to their Employers, they began "salvage operations" in 1921, secretly and under force requiring the "registration" of American babies as Wards of their State-of-State franchise corporations, thereby reducing their Employers to Dependents and illegally, immorally, and unlawfully converting and seizing upon American land assets for their own benefit.

5th: As 1913 began, Corp. U.S. had no funds to carry out the necessary business needs of the government so they went to said families and asked if they could borrow some money. The families said no (Corp. U.S. had already demonstrated that they would not repay their debts in full). The families had foreseen this situation and had the year before finalized the creation of a private corporation of the name "Federal Reserve Bank". Corp. U.S. formed a relationship with the Federal Reserve Bank whereby they could transact their business via note rather than with money. Notice that this relationship was one made between two private corporations and did not involve government; that is where most people error in

understanding the Federal Reserve Bank system-again it has no government relation at all. The private contracts that set the whole system up even recognize that if anything therein proposed is found illegal or impossible to perform it is excluded from the agreements and the remaining elements remain in full force and effect.

My comment: This was clearly an accommodation among thieves, two corporations, both of them deceitfully named to make people think they were associated with our Federal Government, both conspiring to impersonate us and use our assets as "Securities" so that they could access our credit without disclosure, hypothecate debts "in our names", and force us to pay unlimited interest for the "privilege" of accessing our own credit.

Why should we ever pay them to issue our money, when we have the ability and wealth to issue our own? Why should we agree to pay them gross amounts of interest for the use of our own credit? Why should we "voluntarily" pay Federal Income Taxes, when we are not Federal citizens of either stripe, and we receive no "Federal Income" from these loutish criminal corporations?

We, the Americans, never agreed to any of this. None of it was disclosed. All of it was misrepresented. And the end result is a massive balloon of Odious Debt --- that is, debt created by means of subterfuge and fraud, of which the victims are unaware and from which they do not benefit.

6th: Almost simultaneously with the last fact (also in 1913), Corp. U.S. passes and adopts (as if ratified) their own 16th amendment. It must be noted that this amendment has nothing to do with our nation, with our people or with our national Constitution, which already had its own 16th amendment. The Supreme Court ruled that it did nothing that was not already done other than to make plain and clear the right of the United States (Corp. U.S.) to tax corporations. We agree, considering that they were created under the authority of Corp. U.S.

My Comment: As we have already seen, the 16th Amendment, like the 14th Amendment, were not part of any legitimate Constitution the American People signed, and were instead attached to Corporate Charters deliberately and deceitfully called "Constitutions" designed to closely resemble the actual Constitutions that the Perpetrators owe us. Such Corporate "Constitutions" do not require ratification by the States, because the States are not Party to them, and any

such "Amendments" as the Corporation's Board of Directors and Shareholders may make, are merely By-Law Amendments completely unrelated to us.

Thus, the Schemers could tax their own corporation's employees for the privilege of being employed --- an "income tax", as a condition of employment, and take refuge in their own corporation's By-Law Amendments, but where they went completely off the trolley was in conspiring to impersonate and misrepresent average Americans as "Federal Dependents".

They have used False, Coerced, and Undisclosed Registrations as a Pretense to latch onto and unlawfully convert the personal and political status of Americans first to that of British Territorial U.S. Citizens, and second to that of US PERSONS --- which are individual Municipal Corporation franchises considered to be Municipal citizens of the United States. All this is grossly illegal and unlawful and clearly forbidden by our Constitutions, by their own Federal and Municipal Codes, and by both the Hague and the Geneva Conventions.

And they have done this while receiving their paychecks and pensions from us and while being strictly under contract -- Article IV of every Constitution in every jurisdiction, ever -- to protect us and protect our persons and protect our assets.

7th: Next (also 1913) Congress passed and entered the 17th amendment as ratified, even though the states had no opportunity to ratify the same. This amendment is not only not ratified, it is not constitutional; the Constitution forbids Congress from even discussing the matter of where Senators are elected.

My Comment: We have already discussed how they worked a Substitution Scheme to substitute their Corporate Charters misrepresented as Corporate "Constitutions" closely resembling the actual Constitutions already in effect, and also how they evaded the requirement of ratification by the States and unlawfully converted the Constitutional Amendment Process to a Corporate By-Law Amendment process. Nothing that they have done within the realm of their corporations should be allowed to impact us, but instead, they have openly conspired to latch onto and misrepresent Americans as Employees and/or Dependents of their foreign corporations.

They have absolutely no contract nor any excuse for what they have done to their honorable and steadfast Employers --- as they have sought to deceive us and disinherit us and defraud us, while receiving payment to protect us, our persons. and our assets.

8th: In 1914, the Freshman class and all Senators that successfully ran for re-election in 1913 by popular vote are seated in Corp. U.S. capacity only.

My Comment: This is true and disgraceful, and it has continued unabated to the present day. The really peculiar thing, though, is that only some members of the Territorial "Congress" know that they are operating in this capacity, and only this capacity, while others assume that they are operating properly and apparently never catch on otherwise. This indicates an ongoing conspiracy within the Congress itself to further control and hide what they are doing, even from members of the same body.

9th: In 1917, Corp. U.S. enters WWI and passes their Emergency War Powers, and Trading with the Enemies Acts.

My Comment: None of what the Territorial United States Congress did in 1917 applies to us, though people often mistake what is going on and who is doing it and in what capacity, including even some members of the Territorial Congress and employees of theirs at the DOJ --- the misnamed "Department of Justice" which is really an in-house corporate legal firm hired to defend the phony corporation and its officers from complaints, all paid for by us, of course.

The actual Constitutions don't provide for any "Emergency Powers" and the American People who signed the Constitutions as Principals would laugh at the entire idea. The only "Powers" our Constitutions grant are strictly enumerated, no more, no less.

Americans by definition cannot be "Enemies" and "Employers" at the same time, so, yes, we had to be excluded and exempt from the Trading With the Enemy Act and were never the intended targets. The exemption is found in Section 25 of the Appendix if you can understand sneaky lawyer-speak well enough, and the "Enemies" discussed are "domestic" with respect to what? The District of Columbia. So what the Trading With the Enemy Act is actually about is trading with the Municipal citizenry and any other foreign nationals that the British Crown Corporation is having a Mercenary Conflict with at the time.

10th: In 1918, President Wilson is re-elected by the Electoral College but their election is required to be confirmed by the constitutionally set Senate; where in the new Corp. U.S., only

Senators were allowed to participate in the Electoral College vote confirmation. The only authority that could possibly have been used for electoral confirmation was corporate only. Therefore, President Wilson was not confirmed into office for his second term as President of the United States of America and was only seated in the Corp. U.S. Presidential capacity. Therefore the original jurisdiction government's seats were vacated because the people didn't seat any original jurisdiction government officers.

My Comment: Right here, above, is an example of where forgetting who we are and who they are can be a real detriment, as it leads to logical but wrong conclusions.

The Electoral College elected President Wilson to a Second Term as President of both the USA, Inc. and the US, INC., but the corporation officers pretending to be "United States Senators" --- which "United States" was that? Wink-Wink.... disagreed. The "Senators" representing the USA, Inc. had had enough of Wilson as "their" British Territorial "President" and refused to confirm.

We have a similar situation going on right here, right now, where the popular vote and the Electoral College both confirmed Donald Trump for a second term as both President of the USA, Inc. and the President of the US, INC., but the "SENATORS" the Municipal "US, INC." had had enough of Trump and refused to confirm, even without a whole bunch of foreign intrigue to hack the election.

What happens is that we have two (2) "Presidents" both acting as "President" of a foreign commercial corporation. Trump has been kept on as the "President" of the USA, Inc. version --- whatever they are calling their corporation now, and Biden has been elected as the "President" of the US, INC., or what they are now calling the "White House Office, INC."

The contract of collusion both corporations agreed to and published back in 1937, The Declaration of Interdependence of the Governments in The United States, is falling apart.

Nobody knows what is really going on, and mass confusion reigns as these behemoths try to hide their assets and shuffle off their obligations and get set up for another round of fraud, all while pointing fingers and blaming each other for their

joint gross disregard for their actual Employers --- the American States and People.

11th: In 1933, the Trading with the Enemies Act is adjusted to recognize the people of the United States of America as enemies of Corp. U.S.

My Comment: This "adjustment" isn't really any adjustment of the Territorial Corporation's Trading With the Enemy Act issued in 1917, rather, it's the Municipal Corporation's reply sixteen years later.

In the first round, the Territorial Corporation identified their favorite whipping boys, the Federal Civil Service and Municipal Corporations and all "citizens of the United States", as their domestic Enemies. Sixteen years later the Municipal Corporation identified the U.S. Citizens acting as the citizenry of "the" United States of America as the "Enemy".

The actual Americans still have to be explicitly excluded from the "Enemy" list, because we are the actual Employers of the Municipal citizens, too, and we remain "non-domestic" --- permanently domiciled outside both the District of Columbia and the Municipality of Washington, DC.

The problem, of course, is that both Corporations and their actual "citizens" conspire to claim and misidentify Americans as either Territorial or Municipal citizens, or both. We get "caught in the cross-fire" so to speak and it doesn't help that most of us are confused about who "they" are and about who "we" are.

12th: In 1944, under the Bretton Woods Agreement, Corp. U.S. is quit claimed to the International Monetary Fund, and becomes a foreign controlled private corporation.

My Comment: Those of you old enough to remember World War II or the years immediately following will remember seeing "US CORP" plastered on virtually every surplus canteen, Jeep, fork, and olive green tent. This is the US CORP that was deliberately used as the scapegoat and made the "Debtor" and made to pay "War Reparations" as the Winner in the War.

I know. Makes as much sense as mud on a skewer, but the Pope and the Boyz in Rome had a big Brain Fart and decided that no matter who starts a war, from now on, whoever wins the war has to pay for it. So, better pray you lose, or be determined not to let a war ever get started, and if it does start, avoid getting involved.

This was what inspired the Peter Seller's movie, The Mouse That Roared. All you had to do was lose a war to the United States and like Secretary of Defense McNamara, fail your way to success.

Certain parties are currently remembering this little agreement and how it was used to justify soaking us after WWII. It is discouraging further opportunistic "investments" by European states and the Biden Administration in the War in Ukraine. They are suddenly all thinking, "What if Russia loses? What if we are found with our hands in the pot on the winning side? We will have to pay for all the damage!"

For once, it appears that the Vatican's intrigues are serving to put a lid on war-mongering, but I think they were prompted by more practical considerations --- the losers in a war are less likely to be able to pay debts.

So they sacrificed the US CORP and gave it to the IMF, but remember --- this country hasn't had a Treasury since 1924, when the IMF took over that job. They were really just transferring the loot from one pocket to another, and using a European distribution channel because, well, all the damage was in Europe.

13th: Sometime after 1935, you ask Social Security Administration for a relationship with their program. They create an entity with a name (that sounds like your name but is spelled with all capital letters) and a depository account number in the Social Security General Trust Fund (GTF). They give you the Social Security card which identifies you as the single person with authority to control the entity they created (on review: you may notice that the Social Security Administration was the creator of the entity, the GTF is its beneficiary and you were made its Trustee.) More importantly: this capacity does not limit you or your capacity to act in your sovereign capacity in any way.

My Comment: Beware of anything that requires you to "enroll" or "make an application" for "benefits" of any kind. The soldiers in the Civil War were unwittingly enrolled as Mercenaries and an application makes it appear that you volunteered and sought and wanted whatever it is you are applying for.

I know for sure that I and my comrades in High School were lied to and told that we "had to" have a Social Security Number to have a job. We were also told that we "had to" pay Federal Income Taxes. The Liars didn't mention that this was only true if you were applying for a Federal job --- and of course, none of us were.

The "depository" account number is the only number you are likely to see; its called a Cusip Number because it is attached to a clearinghouse certificate which

attaches your name to a Municipal citizen of the United States --- and that US individual franchise corporation, now called a "UCC Contract Trust" is liable not only for collecting deposits to pay for your retirement "benefits" but makes sure you pay for everything else, too.

There is no longer any Social Security General Trust Fund. That was long ago merged with the Public Charitable Trust, turned into a Ponzi Scheme, and spent. And there was never, as some people supposed, any specific trust account set up for you, there was only a money in and money out accounting function attached to these two differently formatted numbers.

While it is perfectly true that from the Municipal Government's side of things, having this account in no way impairs your political status and is not meant to impersonate you as a Municipal citizen of the United States---- but, you now have such a PERSON attached to you, with your house as its last known address, and that PERSON is a Universal Debtor, a felon, and a slave, in the eyes of the British Territorial Government.

It has been that way ever since the end of the American Civil War and the adoption of the Fourteenth By-Law Amendment to a certain Corporate Constitution belonging to a Scottish Commercial Corporation passing itself off as The United States of America ---- Incorporated.

Merely having a Municipal PERSON named after you makes you a suspect and a criminal so far as the Territorial Government is concerned, and they will spare no expense to bring that PERSON to justice and wring every penny out of it.

Put nicely, when you were pushed to apply for a Social Security Number, you were unknowingly painting a target on your back.

The Pope liked that because there were more PERSONS to spread the debt around to, and the British Monarch and the Lord Mayor liked that, because they had more targets to harass and charge and impound and confiscate assets from.

This was at the heart of the whole Substitution Scheme -- with the Municipal Government looking for chumps to pay their debts, and the Territorial Government let loose to collect from Americans who didn't know what was going on or why they were having their assets confiscated to pay foreign taxes, both the US, INC. and the USA, Inc., were sitting pretty on the backs of their Employers

It was all fraud. It was all criminal. It still is. There is no "trust fund". There is no "social insurance" or pension policy. And the Social Security Administration like the Internal Revenue Service has nothing whatsoever to do with our American Government.

The Number One, Most Ludicrous, Most Obscene, Most Preposterous, and Most Destructive Lie Nomination goes to the so-called Fourteenth Amendment, and the idea that a By-Law Amendment adopted by a long defunct and criminally deceitful Scottish Commercial Corporation is still owed enforcement by anything or anyone.

As recently as two years ago, I heard President Trump telling reporters to go look up the Fourteenth Amendment, and it was clear that he was either taking this bunko seriously himself, or he wanted other people to take it seriously.

Here for the record books is the God's Honest Solemn Truth:

There is no authority vested in the Fourteenth Amendment, nor is there any valid Corporate "Constitution" and none of this has anything whatsoever to do with me or any living American. If possible, it has even less to do with our lawful Government.

14th: In 1968, at the national governors' conference in Lexington, Kentucky, the IMF leaders of the event proposed the dilemma the State governors were in for carrying out their business dealings in Federal Reserve Notes (foreign notes), which is forbidden in the national and State constitutions, alleging that if they did not do something to protect themselves the people would discover what had been done with their money and would likely kill them all and start over. They suggested the States form corporations like Corp. U.S. and showed the advantages of the resultant uniform codes that could be created, which would allow better and more powerful control over the people.

My Comment: Forget using a private military script issued by foreign banks as currency displacing and using our actual gold and silver and land and labor as the assets backing the script, and then forcing us to pay usury to use credit that belongs to us. That's all bad enough, but there's worse.

Mischaracterizing our marriages as business Joint Ventures, and claiming an ownership interest in our children as "products" of our "Joint Ventures" --- that's worse.

Sending thugs into our hospitals to bully new Mothers into signing undisclosed paperwork giving up their babies and their babies' estates and inheritances for the benefit of foreign State-of-State franchise corporations --- that's worse.

Using these and other means of coercion, fraud, and illegal conscription to enslave, indebt, bully, demean, and defraud people who have saved your butts through two World Wars -- that's worse.

Collecting taxes long after taxes are no longer needed, and using those taxes to pay non-existent interest on non-existent National Debts --- that's worse.

All the funny money crap is bad enough, but these are crimes of state, carried out by for-profit commercial corporations masquerading as legitimate governments preying upon the people they are supposed to serve and protect.

15th: By 1971, every State government in the union of States had formed such private corporations (Corp. State), in accord with the IMF admonition, and the people ceased to seat original jurisdiction government officials in their State government seats.

My Comment: Although it may be hard to imagine, the seats of our actual American Government have been "vacated", "mysteriously absent", presumed to be "in interregnum" since 1860 when all this fraud and predation began. It started when Queen Victoria and Prince Albert of Saxe-Coburg-Gotha married in 1840.

Prince Albert "seized upon" the Queen's body, which included all her Earthly (physical) wealth, and promptly carried off the management of it to Germany, where his collaborators in the House of Wettin planned the destruction of the Kingdom of Prussia, which had overtaken their Hanoverian dynasty and ancestral lands.

They also plotted the conquest of India by the British Raj. And they used "enfranchisement" of the British Working Class---in the sense of a Dairy Queen franchise --- as an excuse to latch onto their Good Names, and unlawfully converted them into chattel estates, to use as collateral, converted their political status as Freemen into the status of Indentured Servants, and all their material wealth-- bodies, houses, businesses, livestock, and land, everything down to their toenails was seized upon to finance all this war-mongering and grudge-matching.

I hate to break it, considering that I am of German stock myself, but yes, Germans engineered all this and only pretended to be British. And it wasn't just the Nazis financed by Rome and their "British" allies, the infiltration and fraud and the disease of Corporatism began in 1840, a full hundred years before the invasion of Czechoslovakia and Poland.

If you read the actual Constitutions you will see that forming Confederate States (that is, States-of-States) and forming Confederations is forbidden to the States of the Union. So where did these business organizations --- and the Mercenary Conflicts they have spawned --- get a foot in the door?

In the very beginning, in 1776, the former Colonies -- British, French, Papist --- all unanimously declared their independence from former associations and foreign rule. That fateful July the original Union of former estates thus formed called itself, the States of America.

In September that year, the pro-American side of the original United States of America Trading Company started operations as a Federation of States, functioning as a Holding Company, and doing business as The United States of America --- unincorporated.

Five years later, in 1781, the original Confederation formed under The Articles of Confederation. The members of this Confederation were all American businesses organized as States-of-States, also known as Confederate States.

Basically a decade after the initial fight started, the new Constitutions implemented the details of the Peace Treaties ending The War of Independence.

If our States were prohibited from forming Confederate States, where did all these States-of-States and the entire idea of a paid, for-hire business bureaucracy come from? The original Union, the States of America.

We know this because the American Federal Subcontractor, known as the Federal Republic, received its new Constitution in 1787 as "the united States of America".

Even then, big business had its nose in the tent, pushing inexorably for its own self-interest. This is what created the horrible debacle and Mercenary Conflict known as The American Civil War. It wasn't about slavery, it was about the economic advantage and market edge that slavery gave the Southern Plantations in the cotton market over Egyptian Cotton Investments made by the British Parliament.

Tories entrenched in the business affairs of the Northern States pushed for abolition of slavery --- not as a moral imperative, but as an economic imperative to save British cotton investments in other countries and make British textile mills more profitable. Thus it was that some influential business and professional organizations in the Northern States held their attachment to Great Britain more dear than their attachment and duty to their Southern States brethren.

All the Confederate States, both North and South, were divided by a common enemy wearing sheep's clothing and a beggar's cloak. It remains to be seen if we will fall for the same song and dance again.

Final words from the other authors:

"Now, having stated these historical facts, we ask you not to believe us, but rather prove these facts for yourself. We then ask you to contact us with any evidence you find that proves or disproves these facts.

When you find there is no error, then remember these simple facts and let no one dissuade you from the truth.

The Bottom Line: when you speak about these private foreign corporations, remember that is what they are and stop calling them government."

My Final Comments: I have undertaken this exhaustive commentary not because the earlier authors were wrong, in the main, about their facts. Rather, I have undertaken it because their interpretation of the facts is so often wrong. Mostly they are wrong because they get confused about the similarly-named entities involved and make wrong assumptions as a result.

Still to my lasting admiration, they managed to carry on to the overall primary insight and deliver their message to us, the later generations: "When you speak about these private foreign corporations, remember that is what they are and stop calling them government."

And to that I can only lend my most hearty agreement, and my deep gratitude, to all those who kept the watchfires burning.

See this article and over 3800 others on Anna's website here: www.annavonreitz.com

To support this work look for the Donate button on this website.