

UPU Shutdowns and Universal Service Obligations

By Anna Von Reitz



Many of you have been upset by and alarmed about postal services shutting down worldwide.

You have to understand that postal services are largely contracted out to various incorporated service providers operating under similar names.

The United States Postal Service is not the "same as" the U.S. Postal Service, and the U.S. Postal Service is not the same as the USPS.

The United States Postal Service, which is only presumed to be a franchise of the Universal Postal Union, has a Universal Service Obligation--- but exactly how it accomplishes this, depends on the population and area to be served.

The Universal Service Obligation extends across various jurisdictional barriers.

Those familiar with Federal Civil Service mail and APOs and FPOs and who have noticed the difference between The United States Postal Service and the U.S. Postal Service and the USPS will not be overly surprised by this and will understand that incorporated businesses subject to bankruptcy go out of business, and that in turn means that new vendors and service providers must be engaged, new contracts negotiated, and new services defined--- or old ones extended.

Recently, the United States Postmaster General was raked over the coals by Congressional Committee members, accusing him of incompetence, and overspending and all sorts of other sins; the poor man, attempting to wear (at last count) seven hats, finally put his hands up and refused to answer. What else could he do?

It was apparent that the Questioners, members of the U.S. Congress, had less than zero comprehension of his very difficult job, and that they were, for the most part, blaming him for things they had done themselves, or failed to do. His mute misery was sufficient witness for anyone listening.

So now the Office of the Inspector General of the U.S. Postal Service is facing loss of funding, and perhaps a 50% staff cut. Okay. Well, times are tough. Other cuts are coming. The Public begins to be impacted, though the people on the street are not aware --- why?

Why is the Post Office --- or at least some of its subcontractors -- deeply in debt? And no, it has nothing to do with giving away "free" mailing boxes.

It has to do with speculative spending --- essentially, betting on contracts and equipment bids that have nothing much to do with postal services. Perhaps you heard about the scandal that prompted the recent Congressional hearings featuring the hapless Postmaster General?

Ah. If not, please be aware that a contract was let paying tens of millions of dollars per single postal service truck, and despite the most diligent effort to discern a reason why, or a fault in the contracting process, it just plain slipped through the sieve. It was completely legal, even if it was insane.

So, technically, the U.S. Postal Service had done nothing wrong. The U.S. Postmaster and OIG of the U.S. Postal Service didn't -- technically -- deserve to be grilled and served hot for this lapse of sanity, but this does raise a flag and generate questions like: how could something like this happen? Who is responsible? Who pays?

If you go back to the 1860's records you will observe a lot of strange things, one of which is the British Territorial "Rump" Congress helping itself to all United States Corporations. Hello?

Yes, the 41st U.S. Congress, Third Session, Chapters 62, 63, 64, 65.... declared itself --- the Congress, that is --- to be the "Successor" of all "United States corporations" and the property of all such corporations.

So what were they talking about, really?

They were latching onto all the American Corporations that had been formed under the auspices of the United States Federal Republic, that is, the American Federal Subcontractor that functioned under The Constitution for the united States of America prior to the Civil War.

The members of the treasonous Rump Congress never said a word to the American people as they usurped against them and claimed property interests and assets that belonged to them.

This results in the current situation, wherein The United States Post Office belonging to the "absent" Federal Republic is being operated as The United States Postal Service, Incorporated, (notice the slight difference) by the British Territorial U.S. Congress as a for-profit enterprise, which is separate from the U.S. Post Office and U.S. Postal Service.

The American United States Post Office and the American United States Postal Service were both usurped upon for the unjust enrichment of members of the British Territorial U.S. Congress, along with a great many other United States Corporations that should have benefited the American people instead.

This is one of the ways that a penniless failed cosmetologist can run for office and in less than four years amass a personal fortune of \$29 million, and get away with it, because virtually all the other members of Congress are doing the same thing---and worse.

Just keep moving along, Ma'am, nothing to see here.

Don't worry. So long as they can make a dime operating one of the several offices of the Post Office in this country, you may be sure they will continue to do so. There may be service interruptions or even cessation of service in some relatively remote locations like Teller, Alaska or Old Pinewood, Nevada, but for the most part, the United States Postal Service will continue on through rain, through sleet, through dark of night --- as long as there is a profit to be made for the members of the U.S. Congress.

See this article and over 5200 others on Anna's website here: www.annavonreitz.com

To support this work look for the Donate button on this website.