The Right and Duty

By Anna Von Reitz



This morning I wish to draw everyone's attention to the situation in Britain -- which is falling apart by the hour.

We long ago observed that it is factually impossible for a King of England to exist. This is because William the Conqueror divided up the spoils from the Norman Conquest among his loyal Barons, and disinherited his own sons from owning any land in England.

Thus, ever since, the land and soil of England has been ruled by the progeny of French aristocrats, each one of whom enjoys "sovereignty in their own right" on the land and soil bequeathed to them by William of Normandy as of 1087 A.D.

This is called the Settlement of the Norman Conquest.

The Norman French Barons settled in as the new "kings" of England, took on the local color, and within a couple generations started looking and sounding like Englishmen -- except for their French names, like Neville, Tousignant, Belcher, Plantagenet, Dumont,and....Talbot.

The Talbots were loyal retainers in Normandy and remained so throughout all of William's adventures and all that his sons and grandsons weathered. The Talbots slogged through the Crusades with Richard the Lionheart, stood guard and took arms at Crecy, and manned the ramparts at Agincourt.

The Talbots served so well, so valiantly, and so loyally, that they were promoted to the position of Ultimate Trust: they became the Lord High Stewards of England, a position that they own to this day, even though the present Lord High Steward and Heir to his ancestral lands in Shrewsbury, happens to be living in Australia.

Understand that each one of these men are -- within the context of their ancestral holdings -- kings of England. They elected among themselves a "king of kings" in each generation, but that was more of an administrative accommodation --- as demonstrated by The Magna Carta.

If they didn't like what "the" King was doing, they retained the right, as a group, to kick his arse. They still retain that right, down through all the generations of the Kings and Queens of England --- a fact that the office holders have always chafed against and sought to evade.

Now, with this background firmly in place in your minds, you can see why the creation of a new Office for the "king of kings" to occupy was highly desired in some quarters, and you will note that this was accomplished in 1707, when the combined Kingdom of England and Scotland, came into existence.

Though the office holder could still function as the King of England and be somewhat subject to the other "kings of England" in that office, he could now also function as the King of England, Ireland, Scotland, and Wales and obtain considerable weasel room by doing so. A separate degree of power was obtained by the office holder, simply by changing the jurisdiction of his office.

The office, "King of England" is a national office tied to the soil of England; the office "King of England, Ireland, Scotland and Wales" is an international office tied to the land and sea jurisdictions of these nations, and finally, "King of the United Kingdom" is a global, imperial office operated in the jurisdiction of the air.

Though we constantly hear the national and international offices referenced, the office holder isn't occupying these offices.

Elizabeth II, for example, abdicated her vows under the Christian Crown of England within three days of her Coronation -- a fact established in the British High Courts under litigation -- and instead spent her time operating as the Queen of the United Kingdom.

Her son, Charles III, didn't even bother to take the Christian Coronation vows and was directly crowned under the Imperial United Kingdom office.

Try to keep this background information perking away as we move the "American Raj Conversation" to Britain, because as I have long said, the British people have

suffered as long as the rest of us. The same rogue Raj elements of their own government have been used to pillage and subourne them, too.

When we examine things more closely, we see that the same exact process of impersonation and alienation of assets was used in Britain, and it developed in tandem with the English King becoming first the "King of England, Ireland, Scotland and Wales", and then still later assuming the foreign Imperial Office as the "King of the United Kingdom".

As the office holder acting as "king of kings" successively moved their office from the soil (England) to the land and sea (England, Ireland, Scotland and Wales) and to the air (United Kingdom) his empowerment changed and so did the character of government, the form of law, and the social contract.

With each change in the jurisdiction being occupied, the former jurisdiction was vacated.

Thus, when the King changed offices and stopped acting as the Christian King of England, the soil jurisdiction was vacated.

When he stopped operating as the King of England, Ireland, Scotland and Wales, the international jurisdiction of the land and sea was vacated.

The present Lord High Steward, Ivan Talbot, was aware that the land and soil of England were being vacated and that the associated High Offices were also left dangerously unoccupied --- whereupon he exercised his right and duty and reclaimed the land and soil that the late Queen abandoned and which the present King never entered upon.

Please note that it is his right to do this, as Hereditary Lord High Steward, and that it is also his duty; if he did not do it, he would be guilty of treason against the lawful government of England as well as the Territorial Government of England, Ireland, Scotland, and Wales.

This is similar to the situation we encountered in America, where the land and soil jurisdictions had been vacated without any public disclosure --- a circumstance that forced the Hereditary Head of State to reclaim the land and soil for the people of this country, too.

Technically, Queen Elizabeth II was "absent" from the English throne for 70 years and never properly occupied it; her Son has similarly remained absent from the soil of England and the land of England, Ireland, Scotland, and Wales. They have only appeared to be present, much as our government appeared to be present --- and wasn't.

The motivation for this ruse appears to have been a combination of greed, power-mongering, and evasion of accountability.

While the office holder gained wealth, arbitrary power, and bore no liability, the people lost their rights and property and the "other" kings of England were undermined and unable to exert their powers of restraint against a Monarch who had slipped the leash of shared sovereignty on the land and soil.

As a result of all this undisclosed finagling, Constitutional Monarchy disappeared during the reign of the late Queen's Father, and all that remained of it was a shell built of the people's trust and belief that it existed.

Like us, the people of England, and within the realm of Territorial powers, the people of England, Ireland, Scotland, and Wales, were duped, preyed upon, and deprived of their rights and property by the Windsors and their Parliamentary Administrations.

Of course, some members of the Parliament had to know what was going on, especially members of the Privy Council, but apparently, their devotion to the office holder blinded them to their duty to the office and to the actual government and the social contract holding it all together.

Luckily for the people of England, and the People of England, Ireland, Scotland, and Wales, the Talbots were no shirkers or fools, and did their duty even when nobody else did. As a result, their Lord High Steward, Ivan Talbot, entered the appropriate claims and charges in a timely fashion and cured them nearly thirty years ago.

Talbot's claims, like our claims for The United States, prevent any wholesale disposition of the land and soil of the British homeland and Territorial holdings as abandoned property, and pave the way for recoupment and action against the corporations which have aimed at establishing a form of Corporate Feudalism and despotism in place of the Constitutional Monarchy that the people are owed.

Against all odds, by the grace of God, our nations have been pulled back from the edge of a dystopian nightmare -- by the actions of two faithful but relatively unknown servants and guardians of their social contracts.

As you are enjoying this Christmas season and looking forward to the clean, bright New Year ahead, take a moment to bless the accretion of traditions, customs, and safeguards built into our venerable governments, and thank God that those little-known safeguards have held steady in the face of one of the most duplicitous, evil, and secretive plots at the very highest levels of our governments -- that our governments have ever faced.

If the Corporatists had been successful, our entire world would have been plunged into a maze of lies, wars, and confusions designed to enhance the powers of faceless, nameless, and unaccountable corporations, bent on promoting their own profit above any social benefit or good.

People would have been enslaved and cultures uprooted, religions would have been disgraced, and wisdom which has guided mankind for centuries would have been lost. The entire ethos of compassion would have been crushed under the wheels of commerce.

You have been saved from all of that. Give thanks. Let the miracles of this season renew your minds and hearts.

And please, if you are from Great Britain, take a little time to visit Ivan Talbot's new YouTube channel; the videos posted will give you a recap and insight into his actions, his authority for those actions, and where things stand right now for the people of England and Great Britain.

Do not be dismayed -- what is true will win over what is false, and what is good will triumph over evil.

See this article and over 4500 others on Anna's website here: www.annavonreitz.com

To support this work look for the Donate button on this website.