

My Reply to "The Ultimate Delusion"

By Anna Von Reitz



Ever since I read this particular publication -- now years ago, it has irritated me, because I know how much disinformation and partial misleading information and how many omissions this Patriot Screech contains and how many people reading it will be in despair and confused.

So tonight, it has finally come to pass, me and Stephen Ames go to the mattresses, blade on blade, steel on stone.

It took seven hours to add my comments shown in blue and in a serif type font.

Please help get this out to the people who need to read it and understand --- finally--- what's going on and why.

My Reply to "The Ultimate Delusion"

By: Stephen Ames

Annotated by Anna Maria Riezinger, Fiduciary

The United States of America

This is an Old Chestnut that has been drifting around the internet and in the Patriot Community for years and it is, essentially, a British Fantasy Retelling of History, in which the British win everything from Day One and we, Americans, are just ignorant rubes and slaves created to grease the wheels of British Empire, blah, blah, blah. I have seen it before. I will probably see it again. Starting my commentary at the beginning from the end, please note that this author warns, at the very end, that we are in this mess because we believe fiction.

No doubt he was having himself a nice snide ironic laugh, knowing that his own screech under examination here is fiction, which he is maliciously trying to pass off as "truth and revelation". Be forewarned. It's possible that this article was written by an American making dumb assumptions, but its more likely written by a British sympathizer trying to demoralize and spin-doctor things the way he wants them to be, instead of the way they are.

Or, maybe, he is just trying to needle enough people, poke them painfully enough, so that they get off the couch and start ranting and stomping? I don't know.

Okay, so, here we go. Read his stuff and then read my stuff appearing in a slightly larger serif style type font: Some copies may show my annotations in blue.

Queen Elizabeth controls and has amended U.S. Social Security, as follows: S.I. 1997 NO.1778
The Social Security (United States of America) Order 1997 Made 22nd of July 1997 coming into force 1st September 1997. See APFN Web Pages: <http://www.apfn.org/apfn/knighthood.htm> ;
<http://www.apfn.org/apfn/queen.htm>

At the Court at Buckingham Palace the 22nd day of July 1997. Now, therefore Her Majesty an pursuance of section 179 (1) (a) and (2) of the Social Security Administration Act of 1992 and all other powers enabling Her in that behalf, is please, by and with advise of Her privy Council, to order, and it is hereby ordered as follows:

"This Order may be cited as the Social Security (United States of America) Order 1997 and shall come into force on 1st September 1997."

Blah, Blah, why wouldn't the Queen be involved in administering a pension program for British Territorial United States Citizens? You didn't know that "Social Security" is a program for Federal workers? Yes, Social Security is supposed to be a program for American Tories working for our Federal Government Subcontractors. So how was it that you ever got coerced to sign up for something like that? You say you aren't a Tory? That you never adopted British Subject status? You never worked for the Federal Government?

Read on.

Does this give a new meaning to Federal Judge William Wayne Justice stating in court that he takes his orders from England?

Of course, he does. He gets paid as a Hired Jurist working in a Municipal Maritime Commercial Court or a British Admiralty Court. He doesn't do American law. We are supposed to have our own courts. Where are they?

This order goes on to redefine words in the Social Security Act and makes some changes in United States Law. Remember, King George was the "Arch-Treasurer and Prince Elector of the Holy Roman Empire and c, and of the United States of America." See: Treaty of Peace (1783) 8 U.S. Statutes at Large 80.. Great Britain which is the agent for the Pope, is in charge of the USA ..!

On first glance this might be startling information to some people, but it's really pertaining to things that every American schoolchild and every British schoolchild should know --- and doesn't.

We should know that King John 1 accepted the job of being the Overseer of the Church's common wealth lands in England and accepted a Holy Roman Empire crown from the Pope in token of this position.

We should also know that King John wasn't a King in England, because he had no land of his own in England – so he was actually only a King in France and an Overseer for the Pope in England; all the land in England, except for the Pope's holdings, was distributed out to William of Normandy's loyal French Barons upon William's death in 1087 A.D. and William's own children were deliberately disinherited and prevented from inheriting anything in England.

This was purposeful on William's part. He was determined that there should never again be a single British Monarch standing on the land and soil of England, so he divided up the land he acquired as a result of the Norman Conquest, made his Barons "sovereigns in the own right" on their own lands in England, thus creating many little kingdoms, and he disinherited his own lineage so that they would remain loyal to France and enjoy peace with an England hobbled by a "surfeit of kings".

What people do not know is that the so called Founding Fathers and King George were working hand-in-hand to bring the people of America to their knees, to install a Central Government over them and to bind them to a debt that could not be paid.

Now, this is at best disingenuous; this is in fact what happened to the British Territorial United States Government --- not to our American Government, but you see how he let's his American readers assume that both the "Central Government" and the "debt" are theirs, instead of being afflictions of their British Territorial neighbors. Which, in fact, they are.

King George had a nasty habit of engaging in wars for profit in foreign parts of the world, taking the profit home for himself, and leaving the debt behind for the local people to pay. This is what he did during the French and Indian War, too, and the taxation laid on our Colonies to pay for this "war debt" was in part what sparked The War of Independence.

Here we see that Georgy Porgy was up to his old tricks again, leaving his remaining loyal British Territorials (aka, Tories) to pay for the cost of fighting with their fellow-Americans for eight long years.

First off you have to understand that the

UNITED STATES is a corporation and that it existed before the Revolutionary war. See

Republica v. Sweers 1 Dallas 43. and 28 U.S.C. 3002 (15)

The United States is not a land mass, it is a corporation.

Its far more correct and enlightening to tell people the truth, and that is, that the soil jurisdiction National Government of this country is a Union of States properly called The United States, which has been inexcusably confused with two unincorporated Trading Companies calling themselves "the United States" – one owned by American Patriots and one owned by Tories (British Territorials), and Corporations of various kinds, including the UNITED STATES, and the USA, and THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA –which are all a British Crown Municipal CORPORATIONS that are still

managed for the Pope by the relatives of King John I, who still receive their Crowns and Offices in England from the Pope even though the Holy Roman Empire technically no longer exists.

That is, nothing much has changed. The Windsor clan, aka, Saxe-Coburg-Gotha family (they are actually all Germans) still work for the Pope in England, still take their “crowns” from the Pope, and still pretend to act as the head of the Anglican (Protestant) Church in England, while taking their orders from the Pope and enslaving their Protestant Congregants by human trafficking them into the Jurisdiction of the Sea and declaring them all “dead, lost at sea” and seizing upon their estates as abandoned property. This diabolical betrayal of the Protestant Church in England began under Henry the Eighth and continues there in England and here in North America and wherever else these devils can make their schemes work.

Now, you also have to realize that King George was not just the King of England, he was also the King of France. Treaty of Peace * U.S. 8 Statutes at Large 80.

Not exactly true; as you can see, if you have been paying attention, William of Normandy’s sons were disinherited in England, but they remained Kings of Normandy in France, a position that they worked hard to improve and bulwark – best exemplified by Henry II’s campaigns in France and his marriage to Queen Eleanor of Aquitaine, the largest landowner in France. So yes, they were petty and sometimes not so petty royalty in France, leading to a situation where it is more proper to say that King George II was “a” King in France, even though he was more famous as “a” King – the Pope’s King – In England. It is King George III during and after the Revolution -- King George II started the problem during the French and Indian War.

On January 22, 1783 Congress (this is the Continental Congress run by the victorious Americans) ratified a contract for the repayment of 21 loans that the UNITED STATES (a British Crown Corporation being socked with War Reparations owed by King George) had already received dating from February 28, 1778 to July 5, 1782. Now the UNITED STATES Inc. owes the King money which is due January 1, 1788 from King George via France.

King George funded both sides of the Revolutionary War.

No, this is a misrepresentation, too. The British Crown Corporation dba UNITED STATES, Inc. owes money to the King, because the King funded the Tories fighting against their American brethren during The War of Independence, and true to form, the King wanted to collect the cost of this “favor” to the Tories back as soon as the fighting ended. One could note that it was the Tories trying to do King George the favor, but being a hopeless sociopath, George considered their loyal efforts on his behalf only his due, and their debts back to his treasury for the money he loaned them to fight for him, was due, too.

In order to enforce his debt collection effort, he arranged to have the victorious American Government collect the debt for him, and being as they were still good and angry with the Tories and got a cut of the profits, the members of our Congress were more than willing to indulge his request, collect the “war tithes” for him, and remitting the war reparations collected from George II’s own loyal supporters back to him.

This is another occasion when Americans got a bad reputation for collecting from poor widows and being cruel and reckless toward their Tory neighbors, but nobody ever tells you that the real Stinker was George II, doing the same thing after The War of Independence as he’d done after the French and Indian War, sticking the colonists for the costs of the War in North America ---- only this time,

Americans who fought against him in the Revolution didn't have to pay. They got to collect King George's war reparations from the Tories, instead.

"Payback" is, well, a B. Especially if you are British.

Anyway, it wasn't us who owed King George any money. We were his bill collectors instead.

Now the Articles of Confederation which were declared in force March 1, 1781 States in Article 12:

"All bills of credit emitted, monies borrowed, and debts contracted by, or under the authority of Congress, before the assembling of the United States, in pursuance of the present confederation, shall be deemed and considered a charge against the United States, for payment and satisfaction whereof the said United States, and the public faith are hereby solemnly pledged."

This is talking about the United States Company that was owned largely by American and European investors, and which funded the war effort on the American side prior to the assembling of the United States (as a government). This is where Congress accepted responsibility for those prior expenses borne by this privately owned company and pledged to pay them back. Men like John Hancock and the Marquis de Lafayette funneled large amounts of private investment money into the independence effort early on. It was only fair for the victorious Congress to pay them back.

The Articles of Confederation acknowledge the debt owed to King George.

This is not true, but it is a good example of how similar names get confused and how people make wrong assumptions as result. The debt being acknowledged in The Articles of Confederation is the debt owed to the American investment company doing business as the United States Company which supported the American Patriots, not the debt of the British Crown Corporation dba UNITED STATES that funded the Tories and which was owed --by the Tories-- back to King George.

Now after losing the Revolutionary War, even though the War was nothing more than a move to turn the (British Territorial "Tories") people into debtors for the King (which they already were—remember, they, the Tories, accepted the King's war debts from the Seven Years War in Europe and the French and Indian War in North America and now had to carry the war debt from the Revolution, too), the conquest was not yet complete.

Now the loans (meaning both the Tory loans owed to the King --which our Confederation was collecting for him, and the loans owed by the Americans to the United States Company investors—which our Confederation was paying back) were coming due and so a meeting was convened in Annapolis, Maryland, to discuss the economic instability of the country under the Articles of Confederation.

It wasn't the debt owed by the Confederation to the United States Company that was a problem; collecting the King's war debts from the American Tories was the problem. Many of them had skipped town and moved to Canada to evade our collection efforts and the Canadian Government was sheltering them.

Only five States come to the meeting, but there is a call for another meeting to take place in Philadelphia the following year with the express purpose of revising the Articles of Confederation. On February 21, 1787 Congress gave approval of the meeting to take place in Philadelphia on May 14, 1787, to revise the Articles of Confederation.

To be more exact, the meeting was supposed to adjust the schedule of payments due from the Tories and their British Crown Corporation dba UNITED STATES back to the King, in view of the problems our Confederation faced trying to collect from them.

Something had to be done about the mounting debt. Little did the people know that the so called founding fathers were going to reorganize the United States because it was Bankrupt.

They are talking about reorganizing the British Crown Corporation – UNITED STATES – debt. Corporations can reorganize under bankruptcy, but not countries, not States, not unincorporated businesses like the United States Company. This is how you can easily know which “United States” they are talking about and realize that the only entity present that was eligible for reorganization (and in need of it) was the Toney British Crown Corporation dba UNITED STATES. Things had gotten so bad for the American Tories that they were running from Confederation tax collectors in the streets. This was a direct effect of the British (and their affiliates) losing the War and having to pay the King back for money they spent defending him and his claims.

On September 17, 1787 twelve State delegates approve the Constitution. The States have now become Constitutors. Constitutor: In the civil law, one who, by simple agreement, becomes responsible for the payment of another's debt. Blacks Law Dictionary 6th Ed.

The States were now liable for the debt owed to the King, but the people of America were not because they were not a party to the Constitution because it was never put to them for a vote.

See APFN web page <http://www.apfn.org/apfn/money.htm>

This is another gross misunderstanding and misrepresentation of what was actually happening. The debt that the American Tories and their British Crown Corporation doing business as the UNITED STATES owed the King and which we were collecting for him, had nothing to do with the Constitutions or the debts that the Constitutors were okaying. They are completely separate issues – and different debts.

Please note that although our Confederation officers were collecting the King's debts for him from the American Tories and their British Crown UNITED STATES corporation, that was never our debt, and also note that after the outcome of the war, most American Tories adopted a new and foreign political status as British Territorial U.S. Citizens, the same status as people born in the British Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. They were allowed to be here to provide “essential government services” under the provisions of the new British Territorial Constitution and the Residence Act, but they were no longer Americans like the rest of us.

They elected to act as British Subjects, and adopted British Territorial Citizenship, as you would expect from diehard Tories, and we allowed that and allowed them to remain in this country, albeit, as a foreign citizenry formally “residing” here under the terms of the Residence Act. Their debts to King George II and his Successors all the way through the Napoleonic Wars were staggering and a constant financial concern that impoverished the United States Territories and Possessions for generations.

The Constitutions and Constitutors are a different matter and refer to acceptance of future debt obligations to be paid in consideration for enumerated services delivered under service contracts known as Constitutions.

This is another thing that every schoolchild should know and doesn't.

The enumerated and delegated powers are extended to allow our Federal Subcontractors to perform the stipulated and enumerated services they agree to provide for us under the structure and terms of the three (3) Constitutions that were consecutively adopted between 1787 and 1790.

These agreements obligated our States of the Union to pay for these enumerated services in gold or silver coin and obligate the service providers to operate on our credit. This debt incurred -for-service arrangement has nothing to do with any war debts, but does represent the implementation of certain power-sharing agreements and treaty provisions that were worked out in the course of the peace process following The War of Independence.

On August 4th, 1790 an Act was passed which was Titled.- An Act making provision for the payment of the Debt of the United States. This can be found at 1 U.S. Statutes at Large pages 138-178. This Act for all intents and purposes abolished the States and Created the Districts. If you don't believe it look it up.

Here, you can begin to catch on again – at a slightly later date with more federal infrastructure in place and more familiar nomenclature – this reference is from the Acts of the Territorial U.S. Congress compiled in the official United States Statutes-at-Large which is the compendium of all legislative acts, policy resolutions, and activities of each Session of the Federal Congressional bodies.

There are several different Congressional bodies formed by the same members to conduct business every Session and it becomes even more difficult to ascertain from context alone which “United States” is being referenced and which body of “Congress” is acting, but there is no particular difficulty in this case. The Act is being undertaken by the British Territorial U.S. Congress and the Debt of the United States being referenced is the debt of the British Crown UNITED STATES Corporation that we are supposed to be collecting from the Tories for King George and his Successors.

As each one of the three Constitutions, one for each Service Provider, was completed, in 1787, 1789 and 1790, the Federal Congresses took up fine tuning the implementation of these power sharing agreements. Early on the Federal Subcontractors agreed to set up Federal Districts that exist in the international and global jurisdictions where the Federal Government operates and set these districts apart from the land and soil jurisdictions where our States operate.

Obviously, our Federal Subcontractors never had and never claimed any ability to abolish our States of the Union. For their own purposes in the foreign international and global jurisdictions where their work is performed, they were free to reorganize and restructure their operations and to separate and define their own political and organizational units ---in this case, imaginary federal service districts. As time has gone on, many different district structures – judicial districts, military districts, municipal districts, etc. have developed.

These foreign federal organizational units have no effect on our physically defined States.

The Act set up Federal Districts, here in Pennsylvania we got two.

In this Act each District was assigned a portion of the debt.

This makes complete sense, because these foreign district employees and their British Crown Corporation dba UNITED STATES are the ones that owe the war reparations debts to King George and his Successors – they are still paying off their share of the war debts from the Seven Years War, French and Indian War, our War of Independence, the French Revolution, the Napoleonic Wars...., etc., but remember, none of this debt is debt accruing to normal Americans. This is debt owed by British Territorial U.S. Citizens --- British Subjects many of whom are born in this country and who appear to be as American as anyone else, but who adopt British Territorial Citizenship. These are the Tories, the sons, daughters, granddaughters....and so on.

The next step was for the states to reorganize their governments which most did in 1790. This had to be done because the States needed to legally bind the people to the debt.

Note -- he has slipped a cog and changed the subject back to the Constitutional debt agreements as opposed to war debts -- without explaining that.

The original State Constitutions were never submitted to the people for a vote. So the governments wrote new constitutions and submitted them to people for a vote thereby binding the people to the debts owed to Great Britain.

Totally wrong. The debts our Confederation took on to pay back the investors of the United States Company and the debts our Confederation attempted to collect from the Tories for King George, are completely different kinds of debts having nothing to do with the debts incurred under the Constitutions for the provision of government services. These debts for services rendered were not owed to “Great Britain” or the King. And we, Americans, never had any further or continuing debts to Great Britain or the King, as we severed our relationship with the King and any responsibility for his war debts.

The debts incurred under The Constitution of the United States of America were owed to the British Territorial Federal Subcontractor for services rendered. The debts incurred under The Constitution of the United States were owed to the Municipal (originally Holy Roman Empire) service providers, also for services rendered.

The people became citizens of the State where they resided (again, you can tell from the language being used – “citizens” and “resided” – that this is talking about the Tories and/or Papist Municipal Federal citizens who are here under the provisions of the Residence Act, not Americans who live here as part of the General Population) and ipso facto a citizen of the United States. A citizen is a member of a fictional entity and it is synonymous with subject.

There is no “ipso facto” about being or not being a Federal Municipal citizen of the United States, a foreign citizenship status conferred on members of the Federal Civil Service and members of the Papist Municipal United States Government after the Civil War, when, once again, the British Monarch came knocking looking for repayment of his war investments – loans made to the British Territorial corporation doing business as the United States of America, Incorporated – which again, the British Territorial U.S. Citizens owed. Not us.

It was at about this time that the British Crown Corporations like the UNITED STATES and the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA started going bankrupt in a cyclic and wholesale fashion, just collecting the debts owed by the Tories and then dumping them on the American Public as a whole, as if we, not the Tory population, owed these debts.

What you think is a state is in reality a corporation, in other words, a Person.

All States and States-of-States are Lawful or Legal Fictions, created by being defined and described and named and given a form; so are Cities, Boroughs, Municipalities, and Townships all Legal Fictions. Our physically described and defined States of the Union are Lawful Persons. States of States are Legal Persons. There are important distinctions to be made between Lawful Persons and Legal Persons, not the least of which is the form of law they are owed and the jurisdiction they occupy, but yes, all these relatively phantom-like and arbitrary constructs – states, districts, boroughs, etc., that we use to organize our world and deliver public services, are all “persons” of one kind or another.

"Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is Person." 9 F. Supp 272 "Word "person" does not include state. 12 Op Atty Gen 176.

There are no states, just corporations. Every body politic on this planet is a corporation. A corporation is an artificial entity, a fiction at law. They only exist in your mind. They are images in your mind, that speak to you.

Keep in mind here that there are Corporations which are unincorporated Lawful Persons and there are Incorporated Corporations which are all Legal Persons. It is commonplace to be “Corporate” and yet not “Incorporated”. Both are fictions – lawful or legal, accountable or not, private or public, and you need to learn the differences, if you want to keep your shirt and your government on track.

We labor, pledge our property and give our children to a fiction.

You do all this and more, if you are a British Subject, an American Tory, a U.S. Citizen, who still engages in making Pledges of Allegiance and enjoys feudal serfdom, but the rest of Americans, Heirs of the Revolution, don't knowingly, willingly, or voluntarily do any of that. That's why we fought The War of Independence for eight long years: to be free of the British System and their obligations to the Holy Roman Empire, too.

For an in-depth look into the nature of these corporations and to see how you also have been declared a fictional entity. See: AMERICAN LAW AND PROCEDURE. JURISPRUDENCE AND LEGAL INSTITUTIONS. VOL.XIII By James De Witt Andrews LL.B. (Albany Law School), LL.D. (Ruskin University) from La Salle University. This book explains in detail the nature and purpose of these corporations, you will be stunned at what you read.

Coming from a Catholic University, everything you will read is about the foreign citizenry of the Papist Municipal Government and/or the British Territorial System, both of which may be Subcontractors of our Government, but are not our Government --- and none of which should be impacting us.

Now before we go any further let us examine a few things in the Constitution. Article six section one keeps the loans from the King valid it states; "All Debts contracted and Engagements entered into, before the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be as valid against the United States under this Constitution, as under the Confederation."

All this says is that valid debts of our government before or after the Constitutions will be honored; it does not say a word about the British Territorial “Tory” U.S. Citizens and their Territorial U.S. Government and their debts to King George, et alia. Our American Government is not to be confused with the Tories and their separate British Territorial Government, which is merely one of our Federal Subcontractors. People really need to bear down on this point and get it straight, or the British Bounders will attach their debts and the debts of their wanton King around our necks and we will be back in the same old condition of servitude and bondage that British Subjects crave.

Another interesting tidbit can be found at Article One Section Eight clause Two which states that Congress has the power to borrow money on the credit of the United States. This was needed so the United States (Which went into Bankruptcy on January 1, 1788) could borrow money and then because the States were a party to the Constitution they would also be liable for it.

No, no, no, no, no --- more “apples and oranges with similar names” deceit going on here. At the time that the Federal Constitutions were set up “the United States” was one of the “doing business as” names of the Government organized as the Union. This authorized the members of the Federal Republic Congress, acting as Fiduciary Deputies, to draw upon the credit of the Union States to conduct business under the Constitutional Service Contracts. It did not authorize the Tories, British Subjects, operating THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA corporation to access the credit of our States, just as it did not grant them the power to declare war. The same is true of the British Crown Corporation and its UNITED STATES Corporation. We didn’t give them access to our credit nor any Fiduciary Deputy offices.

The next underhanded move was the creation of The United States Bank in 1791. This was a private Bank of which there were 25,000 shares issued of which 18,000 were held by those in England. The Bank loaned the United States money in exchange for Securities of the United States.

It is forever necessary to determine WHICH “United States” we are talking about. In this case, we are now talking about the British Territorial United States and their first central bank called The United States Bank --- the name, conveniently, doesn’t spell out which “United States” but we know from the shareholder interest that this is a British Bank and we are talking about the British Territorial United States and its U.S. Citizens.

Now the creditors of the (British Territorial) United States which included the King wanted paid the Interest on the loans that were given to the (British Territorial) United States. So, Alexander Hamilton came up with the great idea of taxing alcohol. The people resisted so George Washington sent out the militia to collect the tax which they did. This has become known as the Whiskey rebellion. It is the (British Territorial) Militia's duty to collect taxes. It is not a duty of the State Assembly Militia.

The so-called Whiskey Rebellion is another Great Patriot Misunderstanding. In the Whiskey Rebellion, farmers in the Midwest and Southeastern United States didn’t have adequate means to ship their agricultural crops to market, so they hit upon the idea of turning their excess grain (which would rot or require expensive storage otherwise) into whiskey and shipping the whiskey back to markets in the populous Eastern States.

What they didn’t know is that as part of the Peace Process ending The War of Independence, the Papist Municipal United States had gained the right to regulate the manufacture, sale, and interstate transport

of alcohol, tobacco, and firearms. These so-called “sin taxes” were used to support the cost of running the Papist Municipal Government and were due enforcement. So, George Washington, acting as the Commander-in-Chief for the British Territorial United States Government, got on his white horse and enforced the tax and the regulation on the farmers.

If the farmers had been content to distribute their whiskey within the borders of their State, the Federal Government would have had no excuse for its action. It was sheerly the fact that the farmers were shipping their alcohol product across state lines that got them into trouble.

To this day, the only commodities that the Federal Government actually has a right to regulate are alcohol, tobacco, and firearms--- and only then, if your activity involves the transportation, manufacture and sale of these regulated substances across state lines. And the definition of “firearms” is not at all what you might expect. It does not include rifles and pistols but concentrates on things like cannons and mortars and armaments of war – so most of the things they are illegally regulating these days don’t even count as firearms in the actual regulations.

The Federal Government Subcontractors have no regulatory powers over commodities of any kind produced, manufactured, transported, sold, and consumed inside the borders of any State of the Union.

Likewise, their State of State Franchises can’t say a word, and most of the hurrah over marijuana and prescription drugs has been pursued unlawfully and illegally. If you are a doctor licensed to treat their corporation’s personnel, you may have to put up with their regulations as a condition of employment, but if you are a private physician accepting only local in-state patients and you maintain a disclaimer on your Office wall in plain sight of the public, proclaiming that you are a private physician, treating local patients who agree to be treated on their own terms only, there isn’t a way in the world that either Federal Subcontractor could regulate your ability to prescribe drugs used inside the State borders.

Just sayin’.

How did the United States collect taxes off of the people if the people are not a party to the Constitution?

Answer: it had nothing to do with any Constitution. It had to do with “sin taxes” collected by the Roman Catholic Church that were negotiated into the Peace Treaties and power sharing agreements that ended The War of Independence.

I'll tell you how. The people are slaves! The United States belongs to the founding fathers, their posterity and Great Britain.

Nah. More purposeful deceit, or ignorance showing. The United States, meaning the country and nation, is free. You merely need to remember and stipulate which “United States” you are talking about.

You also have to have faith in the face of a screed like this, which is designed to make you think the Brits won and that we were terribly indebted and still are --- but no, our debts to the United States Company and its investors were paid off 100% during the Administration of Andrew Jackson. So, there’s the truth of it.

Americans as a whole never agreed to accept the debts of the British King and all his war-mongering and mercenary wars or any of the other “investments” he made and expected his Serfs to make good on.

We left serfdom a long time ago and are not likely to be fooled by Corporate Feudalism, either.

I can see the ugly outlines of the “our posterity” lie rearing its ugly head, from the same corners of ignorance that maintain our Forefathers were Closet British Sympathizers based on the fact that so many Americans have lost sight of the Tories.

The Tories didn’t just vaporize and disappear after War of Independence was won. They continued to be a problem and a dead weight on our economy and a political hotbed of woe and wrong-headedness for many decades after the last salvo, and they have continued to seek to confuse us so that we accept their debts and the debts of their Kings and mistake their laws and their history for ours.

They have sought to gain by guile what they could never win by force of arms.

And now they are finally exposed and put down for what they are.

These same Liars will point at the innocent words, “We, the People” and to “secure to ourselves and our posterity” evil intentions and meanings that only reflect back on the evil and avarice that lurks in the minds and hearts of people trying to tear down the American Forefathers and besmirch their honor with their own venal lusts for power over others, for unjust enrichment, and selfishness of all kinds--- which has been adequately demonstrated by their efforts to cheat their employers.

The capital “P” on People indicates that the people speaking in the Preamble of the Constitutions are the State Citizens of each State. The posterity they speak of are their natural children and the children of all the other people of their State of the Union. There was not elitism then or now.

We, Americans, rougher, less cultured, less sophisticated, still know how to tell the truth and recognize the lies of British Bunko artists.

America is nothing more than a Plantation. It always has been.

Wouldn’t they, our enemies, the Tories, comfort themselves by believing that? And benefit themselves if you were stupid enough to believe that, too?

How many times have you seen someone in court attempt to use the

Constitution and then the Judge tells him he can't. It is because you are not a party to it.

That’s because they have secretly human trafficked you and entered False Registrations and Copyrights in your Name, to make it appear that you are one of them --- a British Subject, a Tory, a British Territorial U.S. Citizen ---- and so, to impersonate you and steal your identity and gain access to your credit under False Pretenses like any common credit card hacker --- and of course, British Territorial U.S. Citizens have no access to the Constitutional Guarantees owed to Americans.

They didn’t fight for them or deserve them.

We are SLAVES!!!!!!!

Yes, British Territorial U.S. Citizens are “lost” in permanent indentured servitude known as “peonage” and the Papist Municipal citizens of the United States are in an even worse conditions of abject slavery. Apparently, they like the possible comforts of slavery, never having to make decisions, or show courage of any kind, able to drift along in a Nanny State, that robs them of all they are and feeds them rice and carrots.

But Americans are not slaves and never will be. The Truth is in us. Make of it what you will.

If you don't believe read *Padelford, Fay & Co. vs. The Mayor and Aldermen of the City of Savannah*. 14 Georgia 438, 520 which states " But, indeed, no private person has a right to complain, by suit in court, on the ground of a breach of the Constitution, the Constitution, it is true, is a compact but he is not a party to it."

Another purposeful deceit, which makes me less inclined to endure Mr. Ames as anything but a spiteful, purposeful, and cynical Disinformation Agent. This famous split-jurisdiction case is buried so deep in law libraries in this country that you can't find it unless you bring a crew of expert researchers and glare intently at the Librarian, in a way that says, “You feckless rat. I know it's in here. Where have you hidden the cheese this time?”

Of course, this quote comes from the British Territorial Court claiming Maritime Jurisdiction owing to the dock and other peculiar issues raised in this case, and totally forgets to cite the decision of the American Court that overturned the Territorial Court and left them sitting in the mud.

Wake up, people, and read what even this pitiful slanted excerpt from the Paddleford case says.

What is the meaning of “private person”? In the context of the Court's utterance, it means a Municipal citizen of the United States.

It's not talking about Americans at all, and in case you never thought about it, Americans are the only ones who are the Bill of Rights and every other jot of the Constitutional Guarantees, limits, and protections.

It's also an attempt to claim that the only people owed the Constitutional Guarantees are State Citizens, but this is not true, either. The State Citizens have the right of enforcement, but the people who live in their States of the Union are the Beneficiaries of the Preamble Trust added to all three (3) Federal Constitutions.

Now back to the Militia. Just read Article One Section Eight clause (15) which states that it is the militia's job to execute the laws of the Union. Now read Clause (16) Which states that Congress has the power to provide for organizing, arming, and disciplining the Militia, and for governing such part of them as may be employed in the service of the United States.

This is a Federal Constitution being referenced. It is foreign by definition and the "militia" being referenced is foreign by definition, too. This is a reference to the "National Guard". And the Union being referenced is the States of America operating the original Federal Republic, but it is very deceptive to talk about this, because the Federal Republic and the Confederation, both, have been dormant and inoperable and awaiting "Reconstruction" for 160 years--- so this form of militia in the hands of the correct authority does not exist. This guy is talking about unicorns as if we have a herd of them in our paddock, ready to ride. The militias that matter to us now, are our own State Assembly Militias, which serve our States of the Union.

The Militia is not there to protect you and me, it is their duty to collect our substance. As you can plainly see all the Constitution did is set up a Military Government to guard the King's commerce and make us slaves.

I am tempted to say, well, dummy, if all you do is read The Constitution of the United States of America which is the Constitution of the British Territorial United States Subcontractor, you will see a structure set up for British Territorial U.S. Citizens, not for Americans, and as we have already demonstrated, U.S. Territorial Citizens are British Subjects condemned to a lifetime of peonage. So? We, Americans, don't live "under" any Constitution. Our Employees live under Constitutions. We live under The Unanimous Declaration of Independence.

Here, yet again, this writer mistakes us for the Tories and our American Government is lost in his contemplation of their government, and his entire attention is fixed on our erstwhile public employees and their plight and their debts and their rules --- as if they were ours?

What kind of madness is this? Is this man suffering some kind of identity crisis or amnesia?

If one goes to 8 U.S. statutes at large 116-132 you will find "The Treaty of Amity, Commerce and Navigation." This Treaty was signed on November 19th, 1794 which was twelve years after the War. Article 2 of the Treaty states that the King's Troops were still occupying the United States. Being the nice King that he was, he decided that the troops would return to England by June 1st, 1796. The troops were still on American soil because, quite frankly the King wanted them here.

No, we wanted them here and they were under the direction of General George Washington and his Adjutants the entire time, and everyone was operating under peacetime. If you pay attention to what was going on immediately after the American Revolution you will see the French Revolution. The French Navy was at a loss and at sea, raiding every ship that sailed. The only protection for American commercial shipping was the British Navy. We didn't have our own Navy built up yet --- and the French Fleet was capturing and sinking our commercial shipping which was trying to get our cotton and grain and other products to markets in Europe. We cut a deal with George making him our Trustee on the High Seas and Inland Waterways, and he agreed that the British Navy would protect our commercial fleet from the French brigands. It was a completely understandable quid pro quo that both our countries desperately needed at the time.

Many people tend to blame the Jews for our problems, but they, too, are for the most part also slaves. Jewish Law does however govern the entire world, as found in Jewish Law by MENACHEM ELON, DEPUTY PRESIDENT SUPREME COURT OF ISRAEL, to wit:

"Everything in the Babylonian Talmud is binding on all Israel. Every town and country must follow all customs, give effect to the decrees, and carry out the enactments of the Talmudic sages, because the entire Jewish people accepted everything contained in Talmud. The sages who adopted the enactments and decrees, instituted the practices, rendered the decisions, and derived the laws, constituted all or most of the Sages of Israel. It is they who received the tradition of the fundamentals of the entire Torah in unbroken succession going back to Moses, our teacher." We are living under what the Bible calls Mammon. As written in the subject Index, Mammon is defined as ("Civil law and procedure").

Now turn to the "The Shetars Effect on English Law" -- A Law of the Jews Becomes the Law of the Land, found in "The Georgetown Law Journal, Vol 71: pages 1179-1200."

It is clearly stated in the Law Review that the Jews are the property of the Norman and Anglo-Saxon Kings. It also explains that the Talmud is the law of the land.

More, still more, apparently deliberate twisting and misrepresentation. This whole article is one long lie designed to make Americans believe negative nonsense about their situation and their government and their country and themselves.

The Law Merchant—the Shetar —aka, the Law of Commerce, applies on land and sea, and exists in the Jurisdiction of the Air.

The Shetar is the Jewish version of this very ancient form of law.

And as for being “Law of the Land” you really need to study fences – everyone who comes into the land jurisdiction from outside the land jurisdiction, talks about the “Law of the Land” because they have to obey it or bring their law into conformity with it when they touch down (air) or come ashore (sea).

So what this is actually telling you is that when commerce is conducted on the land it has to conform to the law of the land in the country where the commercial transactions are taking place --- otherwise, all those Jewish Merchants get arrested. Thus, also, the Shetar practiced on the land is different than the Shetar practices on the sea.

It explains how the Babylonian Talmud became the law of the land, which is now known as the Uniform Commercial Code which is private international law.

The written credit agreement -- the Jewish shetar is a lien on all of the property in the world. The treatise also explains that the Jews are owned by Great Britain and that the Jews are in charge of the Banking system.

LOL, I don't know how much more of this I can stand. This is giving you the impression that we are all living under the clutches of some terrible foreign Jewish Law that claims to own everything in the whole world and has overtaken the Law of the Land and reduced everything down to commercial transactions that depend on Jewish slaves issuing commercial credit.

We are living under the Babylonian Talmud.

We are not living under the Babylonian anything including a Babylonian bear rug. We are living people, not corporations.

Commerce is business conducted between two incorporated entities. Commerce operates on credit and debt, a system of commercial "paper" that includes promissory notes, warehouse receipts, certificates, debentures, stocks, bonds, etc. Federal Reserve Notes are exactly this kind of commercial paper, but so what? We as living people have the power to issue our own money and trade in it. We are not dependent on our employees in this respect.

They were obliged by the Constitutions to operate on our credit -- a privilege they abused and are losing as a result, but the existence of commerce and commercial paper shouldn't scare anyone. It has been a part of life for thousands of years and being able to trade (what Lawful Persons do) and conduct commerce (between incorporated businesses on the land or the sea).

Maritime commerce conducted on the sea is often quite criminal and the courts that administer this activity are severe. They begin by assuming that the defendant is always guilty, for example.

Land-based commerce has to conform to the Law of the Land, which is an important point for everyone reading this to consider. You want to conduct commerce on the land so that you have the protections of the Constitutions and those Guarantees and limitations in place to protect you ---but by hijacking American babies and falsely registering them as Protestant British Subjects, the rats contrive to maroon us at sea, where the British King can abuse us and our assets and any commerce we engage in can be taxed and regulated by him and the protections of the Law of the Land don't apply.

That's what the kidnapping of your identity and the copyrighting of your Proper Name by the British Crown, together with registering you first as a Protestant British Subject "lost at sea" does. Then they register your estate as an ESTATE belonging to that poor, lost British Mariner. And it is presumed to benefit the UNITED STATES and the British Crown as a whole.

They have to pay for their filthy illegal and unlawful war-mongering somehow, don't they?

So they've hijacked you and stolen your identity and you'd be better off in the French Foreign Legion than being a British Territorial U.S. Citizen or a British Crown CORPORATION.

It's a filthy dirty fraudulent gross breach of trust, both in the Jurisdiction of the Air and the Jurisdiction of the Sea, but push comes to shove, when you are back home on the Land Jurisdiction where you were born, and the Law of the Land kicks in.

Don't walk. Run. Correct your political status records. Claim back your birthright political status and its protections. Stand up as an American instead of taking it in the shorts as a British Subject or worse, a British Crown Corporation Franchise.

It was brought into England in 1066 and has been enforced by the Pope, Kings and the various religions ever since. It is total and relentless mind control, people are taught to believe in things that do not exist. Private International Law, which is commercial law, only deals with fictions, known as persons. A person is a fictional entity at law, not a living being. See UCC 1-201. (Now 1-308)

Now before you scream that the UCC is unconstitutional I'm sorry people, you are not a party to any constitution. Read the case cite below.

The UCC has nothing to do with the Constitutions – it's a totally different system of law, operating in a totally different jurisdiction, one that we, as living Americans, have no reason to frequent, unless we need to conduct commercial business transactions. Most of us get along fine conducting our business as international trade, but if we need to conduct commerce, we can do it just fine standing on our flat feet on the land, which is where we conduct such business once we reclaim our lawful birthright political status as Americans and are no longer being confused with American Tories who chose British Subject status, or British Crown Corporation Franchises named after us.

"But, indeed, no private person has a right to complain, by suit in court, on the ground of a breach of the Constitution. The Constitution it is true, is a compact, but he is not a party to it."

Padelford, Fay & Co., vs. Mayor and Aldermen of the City of Savannah 14 Ga. 438, 520

You have to understand that Great Britain, (Article six Section one) the United States and the States are parties to the Constitution not you.

Correction: the State Citizens are Parties to the Constitutions and the protections they secure apply to every other American living in their State, are required by Equal Protection of the Law. Here's the catch --- the Constitutional Guarantees only apply to Americans, and the rats have registered you as a British Territorial U.S. Citizen / Municipal CORPORATION Franchise, so as to evade their obligations under the Constitutions and make it easy to fleece and coerce and racketeer against you. These vermin abuse and enslave their own people, and they will do the same to you, if you allow them to claim that you are anything but a full-fledged American and a National of your State of the Union, not a British Territorial, not a Municipal citizen of the United States --- an American owed every jot of the Federal Constitutional Guarantees.

Let me try to explain. If I buy an automobile (No, let me try to explain. This guy is very confused about who he is and who you are, and as a result, he can't lead you anywhere without falling in a hole. He began by describing you as an American Tory who adopted the political status of a British Territorial U.S. Citizen, and now, he's got you down as a Municipal citizen of the United States...and you are neither one.) from a man and that automobile has a warranty and the engine blows up the first day I have it.

Then I tell the man just forget about it. Then you come along and tell the man to pay me and he says no. So you take him to court for not holding up the contract. The court then says case dismissed. Why? Because you are not a party to the contract. You cannot sue a government

official for not adhering to a contract (Constitution) that you are not a party to.

That's not the problem. The problem is that you have been misidentified as a foreigner on your own soil. You have been misidentified as a Federal employee, and not an American at all. Neither the U.S. Citizens nor the Municipal citizens of the United States have ever been covered by the Constitutions --- so if you are one of them, neither are you. See how this works?

You better accept the fact that you are a Slave. Wouldn't the rats like that? This guy is pond scum. Better to shove your Old Glory right up his ass and twist.

When you try to use the Constitution you are committing a CRIME known as CRIMINAL TRESPASS.

No, Edjit, it's called "Criminal Transgression"--- if we were knowingly, willingly, or voluntarily entering your foreign jurisdictions at all. These foreigners and their Foreign Courts are the ones committing Criminal Trespass by misaddressing Americans every day.

Why? Because you are attempting to infringe on a private contract that you are not a party to. Then to make matters worse you are a debt slave who owns no property or has any rights. You are a mere user of your Masters property!

What a joker this guy is. He is confused about who he is, so he thinks you are confused too. He probably thinks he is talking to a Sovereign Citizen instead of a Foreign Sovereign. One that is ready to have him arrested and sent home to England where he can squat like a toad and kiss King Charlie's \$@\$@.

Here are just a couple of examples:

"The primary control and custody of infants is with the government" Tillman V. Roberts. 108 So.

62

"Marriage is a civil contract to which there are three parties-the husband, the wife and the state."

Van Koten v. Van Koten. 154 N.E. 146.

"The ultimate ownership of all property is in the State: individual so-called 'ownership' is only by virtue of Government, i.e. law amounting to mere user; and use must be in accordance with law and subordinate to the necessities of the State. Senate Document No. 43 73rd Congress 1st Session. (Brown v. Welch supra)

All the above rot is true, if you are unfortunate enough to be a British Territorial U.S. Citizen or a Municipal citizen of the United States. Lucky you, you are neither one. You are an American. And you are the Master. And that's your property that your dishonest employees have been stealing from you. You're not the slave, and not the indentured servant, either. They are.

You own no Property because you are a slave. Really you are worse off than a slave because you are also a debtor.

Again, the old confused identity trick, trying to convince you that you are in the same miserable status this guy is in--- when all that stands between you and your correct political status as an American is to

rebut the Presumption that he is so liberally presuming against you --- which is that you are someone else! That you are a British Subject! That you are a bondsman of the Pope! -- that you are anyone and anything but who you are and who you were born to be. You aren't a debtor.

I told you that the Tories got stuck with all the debt of the British King's debts and their corporation doing business as the UNITED STATES was in deep dog dew from Day One, and nothing has changed for them at all. That same British Crown Corporation has gone bankrupt and dumped its debts illegally on the whole American Public, instead of just its own dear British Territorial U.S. Citizens more times than I care to count.

They are all just a bunch of mercenaries like the British Raj in India. Criminals. Con artists.

I also told you the all the American debt was paid off during the Andrew Jackson Administration and it was and it has stayed that way.

You aren't the "hopeless debtor" --- they are!

"The right of traffic or the transmission of property, as an absolute inalienable right, is one which has never existed since governments were instituted, and never can exist under government."

Wynehamer v. The People. 13 N.Y. Rep.378, 481

Great Britain to this day collects taxes from the American people. (Which "American people" would that be? Oh, the British Territorial U.S. Citizens, of course.)

The IRS is not an Agency of the United States Government. (That's right. The IRS is a private bill collector hired by the British Crown to collect "Peter's Pence" for the Pope from all the good little Catholic slave; they've been paying an income tax to fund Crusades and kill for Jesus since 1135 AD, and they still haven't caught on. But you just did, brother.)

See APFN web page <http://www.apfn.org/apfn/irstax.htm>

All taxpayers have an Individual Master File which is in code. By using IRS Publication 6209, which is over 400 pages, there is a blocking series which shows the taxpayer the type of tax that is being paid. Most taxpayers fall under a 300-399 blocking series, which 6209 states is reserved, but by going to BMF 300-399 which is the Business Master File in 6209 prior to 1991, this was U.S.-U.K. Tax Claims, meaning taxpayers are considered a business and involved in commerce and are held liable for taxes via a treaty between the U.S. and the U.K., payable to the U.K. The form that is supposed to be used for this is form 8288, FIRPTA-Foreign Investment

(Finally! Some decent information correctly interpreted! Note it down. This is how I discovered that according their Masterfile, "I" was working as a middle manager of a Rum Distillery in Barbados. Just like everything else, this is more lies and illusions built around false registrations and mistaken political statuses.)

Real (means "Royal")Property Tax Account. The 8288 form is in the Law Enforcement Manual of the IRS,

chapter 3. The OMB's-paper-Office of Management and Budget, in the Department of Treasury, List of Active Information collections, Approved Under Paperwork Reduction Act is where form 8288 is found under OMB number 1545-0902, which says U.S. withholding tax return for dispositions by foreign persons, of U.S. Form #8288, #8288a.

These codes have since been changed to read as follows: IMF 300-309, Barred Assessment, CP 55 generated valid for MFT-30, which is the code for the 1040 form. IMF 310-399 reads the same as IMF 300-309, BMF 390-399 reads U.S.-U.K. Tax Treaty Claims.

Notice that is a treaty between what? The British Territorial United States Government (“U.S.”) and the U.K. It doesn’t have a thing to do with you, American. It’s about taxes and debts owed by the Tories.

Isn't it INCREDIBLE that a 1040 form is a payment of a tax to the U.K.? (Not really, when you understand that the American Tories who adopted British Territorial U.S. Citizenship have been in debt to the British King since 1756 --- with no end in sight. And also understand that you have been accidentally-on-purpose “mistaken” for an American Tory and registered as one by your Tory Public Employees.)

Everybody is always looking to 26 U.S.C. for the law that makes one liable for the so called Income Tax but, it is not in there because it is not a Tax, it is debt collection through a private contract called the Constitution of the United States.

No, no, no, no, no --- it has nothing to do with the Constitutions nor any debt for service incurred under the Constitutions. It is all war debt caused by war-mongering and war-profiteering engaged in by the Popes and the British Crown and the British Monarch. The “contract” that enforces this on the British people and on the American Tories, too, is their “pledge” as in ancient Feudal Pledge. Has nothing to do with America or Americans who claim their birthright as American State Nationals and tell the Tories to go groan somewhere else.

Article Six, Section One and various agreements. Is a cow paying an income tax when the machine gets connected to it's udders ? The answer is no. I have never known a cow that owns property or has been compensated for its labor. You own nothing that your labor has ever produced. You don't even own your labor or yourself. Your labor is measured in current credit money, which is debt. You are allowed to retain a small portion of your labor so that you can have food, clothing shelter and most of all breed more slaves.

I have never heard a better argument for destroying the British Monarchy, the British Crown, and the Roman Catholic Church, all three. But as I am not British, not a British Subject, not a Tory, not a subject of the British Crown, and not a Catholic, I don’t have a dog in the fight. My only fight, and most likely your only fight too, is to shove their Presumptions and Registrations and False Claims against me (and you, if you are an average American and not a Tory) all the way to breakfast.

You see, we are cows, (Speak for yourself, Steven. You may be a British Territorial United States Citizen or a Municipal citizen of the United States but speak for yourself.) the IRS is company who milks the cows and the United States Inc., is the veterinarian who takes care of the herd and Great Britain is the Owner of the farm in fee simple.

The farm is held in allodium by the Pope. Now the picture will become much clearer after reading the next few paragraphs. We will now show the Popes involvement in the scheme of things.

"Convinced that the principles of religion contribute most powerfully to keep nations in the state of passive obedience which they owe to their princes, the high contracting parties declare it to be their intention to sustain in their respective states, those measures which the clergy may adopt with the aim of ameliorating their interests, so intimately connected with the preservation of the authority of the princes; and the contracting powers join in offering their thanks to the Pope for what he has already done for them, and solicit his constant cooperation in their views of submitting the nations." Article (3) Treaty of Varona (1822).

If the Sovereign Pontiff should nevertheless, insist on his law being observed he must be obeyed.

Bened. XIV., De Syn. Dioec, lib, ix., c. vii., n. 4. Prati, 1844. Pontifical laws moreover become obligatory without being accepted or confirmed by secular rulers. Syllabus, prop. 28, 29, 44.

Hence the jus nationale, (Federal Law) or the exceptional ecclesiastical laws prevalent in the United States, may be abolished at any time by the Sovereign Pontiff. Elements of Ecclesiastical Law. Vol. I 53-54. So could this be shown that the Pope rules the world?

The Pope (Vicar of Christ) claims to be the ultimate owner of everything in the World. See Treaty of 1213, Papal Bulls of 1455 and 1492.

The Romanus Pontifex Trust was dissolved in 2011 along with the pagan Roman Office of the Roman Pontiff, though Pope Francis continues to do the "secular work" of the Roman Pontiff. The Aeterni Regis Trust condemning the Commonwealth Lands (and all the people living on them) has been dissolved, too. And the Convocation Trust is gone, too. Now the only interesting thing is – where have all these assets been distributed? Certainly not to the people who have been harmed by these repugnant enslavement schemes and frauds.

Don't let this information alarm you because without it you cannot be free, You have to

understand that all slavery and freedom originates in the mind. When your mind allows you to accept and understand that the United States, Great Britain and the Vatican are corporations which are nothing but fictional entities which have been placed into your mind, you will understand that our slavery is because we believe in fictions.

I disagree. I am flat-footed and hard-headed and I know that this vast fraud and enslavement racket has not been promoted by pieces of paper. No, this fraud and racketeering and war mongering has been promoted and preserved and practiced in a habitual way by evil man profiting from it and living as parasites on other men, women, and children. They have prospered by lies and false information, by omissions, by lies, by keeping secrets, by blackmail and murder of the innocent. And they and the institutions they have blighted, have to go, go, go, go, go out of our sight. They need to be caught and suppressed. They need to run and hide. They need to go underground. About six feet under. And be seen and heard from no more.

See this article and over 4500 others on Anna's website here: www.annavonreitz.com

To support this work look for the Donate button on this website.