Mirrored Foreign Persons Held in Trusts

By Anna Von Reitz



The idea of Trusts is again a Roman idea.

We do use American Common Law Trusts in this country for private purposes and each State created a contract trust related to the Delegated Powers when they adopted the Constitutions and defined the Enumerated Powers and Bill of Rights. That's it.

No other trusts in evidence.

These American trusts are not to be confused with the statutory "State" Trusts formed by the British Territorial Government after the Civil War.

Remember that they "mirrored" everything to give the appearance of being us—- and they applied their law to all these "mirrored" entities they created.

Also remember that there are two levels of this same kind of deception—two layers of "mirrors" to deal with.

The Municipal layer and it's PERSONS is what we first encounter whenever their foreign Legal System misaddresses us. If they don't get satisfaction with that ruse, they next address the Territorial Legal Person whose Legal Name looks identical to our Lawful Name —and prosecution under that Name in Upper and Lower Case comes next.

We have to recognize each of these imposters as they are presented as "mirrors" of our Lawful Person and say, "None of the above, thanks."

This is where so many people get fooled. They don't realize that there are two foreign governments and two foreign "persons" that are "representing" them and both must be recognized and rebutted.

I have seen many tax and foreclosure cases where we have won against the Municipal PERSON named in a suit, only to have the Court Clerk assign a new Court Case Number (to create a new bond) and address the Upper and Lower Case form of the victim's Name, and continue the prosecution of the British Territorial Legal Person without missing a beat.

The assumption seems to be that if you aren't one form of foreign Legal Person, you MUST be the other kind. It's your job to so clearly define who you are and the capacity in which you are acting, that both these foreign "Legal Persons" are rebutted and disappear.

Each prosecution begins in the Air Jurisdiction against a Municipal Corporation denoted as a PERSON under Maritime (Commercial) Law, and if they don't win, it gets converted into a "Special Admiralty" prosecution against the British Territorial Legal Person named after you.

So those are the two jurisdictions - air and sea, you have to traverse and the two Legal Persons you have to rebut before you can finally stand as a Lawful Person and discipline the court.

There are likewise two foreign trusts involved—the Municipal PERSONS are held in the Municipal Trust and the Territorial Persons are held in the so-called "National Trust"—but beyond these foreign trusts related to foreign persons and having nothing to do with us, is our own Trust owed to each of our States with respect to the Delegated Powers.

The "deal" firmly stated in all three Federal Constitutions is that the delegated powers belong to us and are placed in trust with each of the Subcontractors with the understanding that these delegations of power are strictly enumerated and limited and cannot be used against us to deprive us of any rights or liberties — and The Bill of Rights is given as an example of the kinds of rights and liberties we retain and which are beyond the scope and intent of the exercise of any delegated powers granted to the Subcontractors.

This is the only Trust ever created by our States of the Union and it is not related to any Municipal CESTUI QUE VIE trusts or British Territorial "Vessels" named after us and held in the "Oregon State [Trust]" or "New York State [Trust].

The other two trusts named after us and after our States are created for foreigners, by foreigners. The Municipal TRUST is created by the Holy See. The British Territorial Trust is created by the Government of Westminster. But only the Preamble Trust and Bill of Rights apply to Americans.

We were basically saying, "Okay, you foreign Subcontractors, we are going to share some of our powers with you and delegate those enumerated and limited powers to you, so you can do some work for us, but you can't then turn around and use those same powers against us. You can't interpret these delegations of powers as permission to usurp against our retained rights and just to make sure you understand what we are talking about, here's a list of examples of the retained rights and powers we are talking about, contained in this Bill of Rights."

So now you have a better idea of the three persons, three trusts, and three forms of law involved every time you wade into this morass.

You have a Municipal Legal PERSON named after you, which is part of the Catholic Unum Sanctum Trust. It functions under Maritime Law.

You have a British Territorial Legal Person named after you, which is part of their foreign National Trust. It functions under Special Admiralty Law.

Finally, you have your American Lawful Person named after you (which on paper looks the same as the British Territorial Legal Person) which is owed the Preamble Trust and Bill of Rights and all other associated protections.

It functions under international land law —and the Federal Constitutions define our Law of the Land, including the limits of that law.

It is necessary to keep your wits and be able to sort through this so as to recognize which "persons" and trusts apply to you— and keep single-mindedly on point, so that these con artists can't "mistake" you for one of them and use that as an excuse to misaddress you under False Legal Presumptions.

As an American not employed by or receiving unearned benefits from any Federal Employers, your answer has to be—— I am not a member of the city (Washington DC) nor the District (British Territorial hegemony). Period.

So here is a succinct reply you can make in any court case at any time and blow their wheels off:

"I am an American State National owed the Preamble Trust and the Bill of Rights and the retained Tenth Amendment Powers and I waive any foreign obligations or unearned benefits. I retain the right to hold everyone here 100% commercially and personally liable for any harm proposed against me or my assets held in trust."

Simple, short, and sweet and covers all the bases.

Any "judge" who hears this and does not immediately render your due is acting in insurrection and in treason and breach of trust for which he or she is 100% commercially (on the Municipal side) and personally (on the British Territorial side) —— liable.

And this is true no matter what pretenses of war and the various impersonations they may offer.

Just remember the existence of these two other "persons" and two other trusts and reply to both by waiving any interest in their trusts and claiming your own.

Finally, remember that "mirroring" is a well-known form of Bunko Crime and is a form of Impersonation—- another Bunko Crime.

Both these crimes are occurring in international jurisdiction every time one of these foreign courts misaddresses an American—including Donald Trump.

So which "Agency" is responsible for addressing and prosecuting international fraud schemes? It used to be the FBI.

Falling down on the job again?

Remember— the only Federal Laws that DO apply to Americans are Title 27 regarding regulation of alcohol, tobacco and firearms, the interstate commerce clause (when properly interpreted to prevent obstruction of trade) and also remember that all such Federal laws pertaining to Americans must: (1) be published in the Congressional Record not the Federal Register and (2) that Americans must be knowingly and actively engaged in a federally regulated activity or acting within the confines of a Federal Enclave (think Army Base or Post Office, etc.) in order for any "federal law" to apply to them.

Also remember that the "State of State Courts" presently in operation are all working as franchise corporations of the Federal Municipal Corporations and as such have no judicial powers related to you or your State of the Union apart from those summarized above.

That is, something calling itself "The State of Wyoming Superior Court" appears to be operating a judicial function for Wyoming, the State—but it's not. It's functioning as an incorporated franchise of the US, INC. or the USA, Inc., and with respect to us, actual Americans, these Courts are just as limited as any other Federal Court.

On Sun, Jun 18, 2023 at 12:53 AM Ken Burgess wrote:

Hello Derek,

I saw Dr. Greer's press announcement on Monday and finally getting around to sending you a note.

I am not an attorney or any legal or lawful professional, but have been studying the fundamental differences between the Legal and Lawful approaches to how our current world operates, and would like to offer you some suggestions.

The Lawful world is based upon American Common Law where our Nation is a Trust and we are the beneficiaries, and elected officials are the Trustee's. The Legal world is base upon Roman Municipal Law where all aspects of our Govt are corporations masquerading as a lawful govt to which they are not.

Being a lawful American National or State National (Virginia, Delaware, etc) and having the comprehension of this jurisdiction is the key to removing yourself from assumed subjugations that take the form of the endless Codes, Statutes and Regulations (CSR) that are part of the 'Benefits' that you get with volunteering yourself to being a United States or US Citizen.

These 2 jurisdictions are as defined as China and Brazil, but mostly completely unknown except in a few patriot (domestic terrorist) type communities, and just because they are unknown and mostly shunned does not make them un-true.

The reason that I bring this up is that as most of you still consider yourselves a US Citizen, and thus you are constrained by all the CSR's that come as part of that jurisdiction with the implied understanding that you have no real rights or ability's to hold property that is free and clear govt oversight, licensing or regulation. This is not true as you step out of that jurisdiction and declare yourself to become an American State National.

Essentially, this is an entirely unique system where you can truly hold your own authority over all other authorities, and have a equal level of protections as the 'law' that you operate with is not that of the Legal world.

If this is new to you, it probably sounds like gibberish, but if you are even slightly familiar with this, then please let me know and I may be able to help you out further.

I am just a regular guy, 64, living in northern California and been familiar with this field since Dr. Greer's first disclosure event in 2001. I have been involved with many advanced science and technology projects in the area more of business development rather than tech development and worked with many names of: Nassim Haramein, Mark Comings, Mark Goldes, Ken Shoulders, Patrick Flanagan, Alvin Marks, and many more. We were doing business development and potential fundraising all prior to 2001 and actually met Dr. Greer at that time during a home presentation in San Francisco.

I suspect that I could be of marginal value as my health is so-so, and a lot of my skills are dated, but I do have a decent level of strategic ideas that might be of value.

Most of my 'lawful' info comes from various teachers such as Russell-Jay: Gould, David Straight and Anna Von Reitz. Each one has their own take of what their 'jurisdiction' actually is and how to navigate it. Currently I am part of Anna's California Assembly that is based upon the Historical precedent of our nations founding to extract ourselves out of 'legal' entrapments that all started after the Civil War.

This is a HUGE topic, but one that I think that you should consider, and if you are interested, I would love to have you talk with Anna herself if she has the time. In the mean time, I would be glad to help you along the way.

Let me know what you think, and best to all that you are doing. Ken Burgess.

See this article and over 4200 others on Anna's website here: www.annavonreitz.com

To support this work look for the Donate button on this website.