Shine the Light on the Police and the Courts
By Anna Von Reitz

Recently, Dr. David Martin electrified a crowd at the "Red Pill Expo" by observing the difference between "vaccine" and "bioweapon" and the need to use the right words.

Here's another good example--- the difference between "policeman" (or "patrolman") and "peace officer"--- and a demonstration of exactly why the difference is of crucial importance.

A policeman is hired by a corporation; he serves as "private security personnel" for that corporation. He is there to obey the corporation's private by-laws and public policies--- and to enforce those by-laws and policies.

A peace officer, by comparison, is hired by an unincorporated government as an Officer of the Law. He is there to obey and enforce the Public Law. A peace officer owes a duty to serve the Public.

Here's a note I got from Ed J. recently:

"Police are government workers, salaries paid from government coffers — tax dollars and, yes, fines, sanctions, penalties, fees, and surcharges. Most people think that the police have an obligation ("duty") to protect the citizens in the jurisdictions they serve. Many police forces even have a motto along the lines of "To Protect and Serve." The unfortunate reality is that the police are under no obligation to protect you. The police do not even have an obligation to respond to your call for help.


In short, four women lived in a boarding house. One lived on the 2nd floor with her 4 year old daughter, and two lived on the third floor.

Two men broke into the house and proceeded to assault and rape the woman on the second floor. The women on the third floor, hearing the screaming and cries
from the floor below, called the police and were assured help was on the way, only to watch the police drive by the house, knock on the door and leave when no one answered.

The two women on the third floor then called the police (again) and were assured (again) that help was on the way.

The police never came, but thinking the police might be in the house, the two women called out to the third woman being assaulted. This resulted in the two assailants becoming aware of the other women in the house. The assailants proceeded to kidnap the three adult women at knife point and rape and beat them for the next 14 hours.

The three women sued the District of Columbia based on numerous failures of the police department in this event, but their case was dismissed at the trial court level. The Supreme Court of the United States explained that it is a “fundamental principle of American law that a government and its agents are under no general duty to provide public services, such as police protection, to any individual citizen.”

If the police have no duty or obligation to protect citizens, what is their purpose?" That's Ed J's $100,000.00 question....

....and my answer:

Even though police working for an incorporated County or State-of-State organization are being paid for with tax money, they owe no "necessary duty" to the Public. They are police. They work in a private capacity for a private corporation. It's right there in the definition of "police" if anyone bothered to look. The fact that these things operating "as" county and state-of-state governments are nothing but commercial corporations like any other commercial corporation is also on public display and fully admitted by Federal Titles 5 and 22.

I also want to draw your attention to the deceitful nature of the court's decision and it's coded meaning --- take a look at it again:

[It is a] “fundamental principle of American law that a government and its agents are under no general duty to provide public services, such as police protection, to any individual citizen.”

Actually, this garbage isn't a fundamental principle of "American" law, it's a fundamental principle of British corporate law.

And the "government and its agents" in this case aren't actually a government at all. They are undeclared foreign agents working for commercial corporations that are posing as "representatives" of our lawful government.
Finally, note the words "individual citizen" ---- the rats don't owe and don't provide protection to their own "citizens", including their own employees, but they are obligated to protect you, if you are an American.

Problem is, they claim that you are one of their citizens, and not an American. And you have never done anything to rebut this presumption.

So they just gratuitously do nothing much to protect anyone other than themselves, and misuse the police as undeclared revenue agents instead of protecting the Public Interest.

By now, you should have been scratching your head and wondering, hey, when did any commercial corporation have the power to tax me? And the answer is never.

Commercial corporations have no power to tax anyone, but they can act under color of law and pretend that they have the powers of government, if you let them.

A good many people reading this will have their jaws agape, trying to take it in, but this is nothing new. This is the way that the Rotters have been operating ever since the Civil War, it's simply gotten more blatant.

Now that you have gotten a clear picture of the difference between "police" and "peace officers" you are also better prepared to understand the Supreme Court's findings in Mack and Prinz v. USA, Inc., in which the court ruled that Sheriffs may use their own "discretion" as to whether or not they enforce the Constitution and provide constitutional guarantees.

Because virtually all the Sheriffs now working in the United States are working for incorporated "Counties" and not for the unincorporated government, they are police agents, not peace officers by definition.

So, as in the Warren v. District of Columbia case discussed above, the Supreme Court affirmed that the "Sheriffs" operating as policemen owe no general duty to the public, and don't need to enforce the Public Law.

So now you have a bird's eye view of why the police are so corrupt and why the streets are unsafe and why your Constitutional Guarantees languish without enforcement: lack of real peace officers.

We are beginning to address this problem along with many others, but in order for us to be effective and protected while we grapple with issues like these, it's necessary to reclaim and assert your birthright political status as an American.

Declaring and recording your choice of political status puts an end to any plausible deniability or excuse for treating you as a corporate "citizen". That's Job One.
After that, it's time to join with other like-minded Americans in your State Assembly, get organized, and elect actual Sheriffs and Deputies to peacekeeping offices.

Go to: www.TheAmericanStatesAssembly.net.

Many of you were shocked today that the Appeals Courts overturned "President" Biden's Vaccine Mandates as unconstitutional and unenforceable.

You were also surprised when he came out in public and said that businesses should obey his mandates even though they are unconstitutional. All that "President" Biden is president of is a foreign commercial corporation, so he has no general duty to the Public and no authority, either.

He's just a political hack acting in a private capacity, like the police, with no more authority than the "President" of Exxon. He believes that obeys or not obeying the Constitution is "discretionary" on his part, in exactly the same way.

This is when all the members of the Armed Forces should remember their Oath to protect and defend the Constitution from all Enemies both foreign and domestic --- because, with respect to them and their District Government, Joe Biden has flagged himself as a domestic threat.

He may owe no general duty to the Constitution, and he may ignore it at his own discretion, but the rest of us have a Public Duty to enforce the Constitution and its Guarantees.

And it's high time we got down to it.
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