

# You Can't Get There From Here

By Anna Von Reitz



Ever heard the expression, "You can't get there from here." --- meaning that you have to go back and go at something a different way?

This happens more often than some people might imagine in places like Scotland and Norway, Alaska and Peru.

Short of landing a helicopter on a rock, there are places in this world that you can't access because there isn't even a goat path to access them. Other times, there is a way, but it's just one way in and one way out, so if you miss the crucial connection between "here" and "there" you are stuck going back and finding that very special crossroads, or tramway, or ferry.

I have spent a considerable portion of my life in such adventurous places, so when I hear "you can't get there from here" I know what to think ---- and do. I stop. I listen carefully. I check my compass points. So should you.

Our Forefathers were faced with some staggeringly formidable challenges, no less daunting than mountain ranges and lochs and fjords. They were, after all, doing something that had never been attempted before --- a government run by the governed.

Unlike us, they were familiar with the snakepit of European politics, complete with meddling from the Roman Catholic Church. Also unlike us, they were familiar with the jurisdictional framework of the system of law we had inherited from Britain. They knew the difference between land and soil.

Soil is defined as the first six inches of dirt under our feet. It is the thin but inescapable surface where we live and breathe. Land is all the subsoil and rock and magma underlying the soil, the subsurface world where one finds underground rivers and veins of gold and basins of oil.

Soil only persists for our purposes where it happens to protrude above the surface of the ocean and provides us with the comforts of air and fresh water, but land connects seamlessly throughout the planet without regard for such niceties.

As a result of these practical matters, soil is the unique jurisdiction of nations of people who claim their portion of the inhabitable surface of the land protruding above sea level, otherwise known as the soil, and the land underlying each nation and every ocean remains a vast international dominion that cares little for our political subdivisions.

Both land and sea remain venues for international trade because of these considerations, while business conducted on the soil between people and their unincorporated enterprises has a local scope and nature that adheres to local law instead of international law.

Thus, even though land and soil are unavoidably and inextricably connected, they operate as two separate jurisdictions and under two very different forms of law. Custom dictates that the law of the soil takes precedence over the law of the land and the sea with respect to living people, and that when people enter the realm of international trade, they act instead as "persons".

On the soil we act as living people and stand under law that applies to living people.

On land we act as Lawful Persons and at sea we act as Legal Persons, and both stand under international law that applies to "dead" business enterprises of all kinds and simple corporations.

What happens when we launch into the foreign jurisdiction of the air and invade the dominion of the birds in flight and leaping flames and beams of light? Well, then, things get even more abstract, and we find incorporated business entities that belong to Third Parties, engaged in commerce, an entire realm of PERSONS engaged in business activities and standing under commercial law (on the land) and maritime law (on the sea).

Obviously, with such a system of jurisdictional constructs derived from the natural world, and different forms of law governing activities within each jurisdiction, there is a potential for considerable friction wherever the jurisdictions overlap and butt up against each other.

Louis Abercrombie is a living man who naturally stands under the national law of the soil jurisdiction, but his unincorporated family business, Abercrombie and Sons, may engage in international trade and thereby become subject to international law. It may

also choose to form a board of directors, elect officers, seek a charter, and morph into Abercrombie and Sons, Inc., and thereby become subject to global commercial and maritime law.

We have to determine whether a given activity is taking place on the soil and among the living people, subject to local law, or, is it an action taking place in international jurisdiction among "juridical Persons" and subject to international law, or, is it something taking place between incorporated franchises and commercial corporations, and therefore subject to global commercial and maritime law?

The interface between Lawful Persons standing on the land and Legal Persons at sea has always been especially problematic, because they look and sound alike, yet operate in two different dominions. Land Law, as in "The Law of the Land" is a different beastie from The Law of the Sea, yet the Lawful Person of Louis Abercrombie is indistinguishable from the Legal Person of Louis Abercrombie on paper.

Is "Louis Abercrombie" a Lawful Person standing under Land Law, claiming his Constitutional Guarantees, or is "Louis Abercrombie" acting as a Legal Person and standing under the Law of the Sea?

Louis Abercrombie on the Land can be "unlawfully converted" to Louis Abercrombie on the Sea, and nobody is the wiser until poor Louis comes to a court of law, and like Dorothy in the Wizard of Oz. realizes that he's not in Kansas anymore. He's out on the High Seas and the Queen's Officers (or Flying Monkeys, as the case may be) are treating him as a suspicious character at best, quite possibly an Enemy of the State, or even a lunatic.

No wonder millions of Americans who have been deliberately misidentified as Legal Persons are disoriented and confused when they are hauled into a foreign Admiralty or Maritime Courts and told that their precious Constitutions --- "the Law of the Land" --- doesn't apply.

Well, it doesn't, but only because without his knowing agreement, "Louis Abercrombie", a Lawful Person, has been illegally and immorally latched upon and unlawfully converted into "Louis Abercrombie" a sea-going Legal Person.

Compare it to being shanghaied into the French Foreign Legion, or, more exactly, press-ganged into the British Navy.

This "unlawful conversion" is precisely what Congressman Louis T. McFadden was complaining about back in 1934 when he protested it in public and brought charges against it to the Judicial Committee of the House of Representatives --- where those

charges are still lodged, like a long-buried bomb set to blow Washington, DC, to smithereens.

Congressman McFadden was poisoned and the Judicial Committee conveniently has never acted upon his charges.

This is also what Frank L. Baum, author of *The Wizard of Oz* saw happening twenty-five years prior to McFadden's objections, a time when all the Robber Barons were fleeing their misdeeds in international jurisdiction by converting their private corporations like Bethlehem Steel Company into commercial corporations like Bethlehem Steel, Incorporated.

They were fleeing the international jurisdiction and vacating it for the jurisdiction of the air, which is comparatively lawless--- and it is also where they could secure the protections of public bankruptcy as public corporations.

When they incorporated during their mad rush to gain public bankruptcy protection for their private fortunes as shareholders in their own businesses, the Robber Barons gave away control of those businesses and subjected themselves and their operations to the so-called "public corporations" ostensibly owned by us, the US, INC. and the USA, Inc. and the State of Delaware, Inc., etc., and never noticed that those corporations were owned in turn by the British Crown Corporation and controlled by unincorporated foreign holding companies like "the American Corporations Company" and "Cede and Company".

From then on, the Robber Barons had public-sponsored bankruptcy protection, that is, bankruptcy protection purportedly sponsored by us, but they didn't really own their own businesses anymore. Bureaucrats could appear on their doorsteps day or night and tell them what to do, and they had to do it as good little franchisees.

The Federal Income Tax "law" of 1916 is a good example. Conceived as a payroll tax on the earnings of Federal Employees for the privilege of their employment contract, it was implemented against the Employers --- not directly against the Employees. It's the "public" corporations that employ these workers that are obligated to collect the tax and withhold it and forward it to the parent corporations --- the US, INC. and USA, Inc. and their State-of-State subsidiaries. They, in turn, pass the loot on to the Pope and the Queen and the Lord Mayor, the owner-operators of the unincorporated holding companies.

And they get away with it by pretending that all these storefront corporations belong to us, when we, the rank and file people of this country, had nothing to do with this scheme

and never granted authority for any of it, and are left holding the bag every time these reprobates go bankrupt at our expense.

Even though press-ganging has been illegal for over 200 years and slavery and peonage have been outlawed worldwide since 1926, these commercial corporations have gone merrily onward and done whatever they pleased without fear of retribution until now, when the nature of their crimes and the mechanisms of their fraud have been dissected and exposed.

Now, all of this is very interesting and necessary to understand, but where was I going with this? Oh, yes, to that place I can't get to from here --- America.

Our Forefathers (wisely) separated the National jurisdiction of the soil overseen by the living people running their own republican County Governments from the International Jurisdictions (Land and Sea) overseen by the Lawful Persons ("People") overseeing their State Governments.

Thus, we have two (2) layers of lawful government in this country, both unincorporated, yet separated from each other because they operate in separate jurisdictions and under separate forms of law. The County Government in the American Government is not a junior franchise of a State-of-State Government, it is instead the fundamental building block and highest authority from which all else ultimately derives. The County Sheriff is the highest-ranking peace officer in this country as a result, and the Common Law of the People, expressed by our Juries, is the highest form of law.

The County is where the people live and breathe and make the decisions about what happens in their lives. The State is where the people act as Lawful Persons to determine their course in international affairs. And their State-of-State should be where they act as Legal Person/PERSONS to determine their course in International Trade and in Commerce.

Should be. But since the 1860's, our "State of State" entities haven't been run by the American States. They've been run as franchises of British Corporations and Papist Municipal CORPORATIONS instead, which means that we have had little or no control of our economy, our trade policies, our natural resources, or our country.

The Queen, the Pope, and the Lord Mayor of the Inner City of London have acted in Gross Breach of Trust and violation of their commercial service contracts with us.

This entire house of cards has been built on high-level fraud and abuse of bankruptcy laws, commodity rigging, corporate monopolies, illegal enslavement and peonage, racketeering, extortion, misrepresentation, identity theft, credit hacking based on

impersonation, legalised gambling, and war for profit. It has been considerably worse than the Wild West, even though it has been carried out by men wearing tweed suits.

Where does all this corruption leave us, Joe and Jane American?

Legally, it leaves us misidentified as British Territorial U.S. Citizens as if we were all born in Puerto Rico, and "lost on the High Seas" before we left grade school.

And that is where we wake up to all this crime and corruption against us --- the High Seas jurisdiction of Maritime Law, presumed to be voluntarily acting as Municipal citizens of the United States and as incorporated franchises of the UNITED STATES, INC., currently doing business as franchises of a bankrupt Puerto Rican Electrical Utility: JOHN Q. PUBLIC.

We can overcome that presumption by changing our NAME back to a Proper Name like John Q. Public, however, this name and identity has also been stolen and is thought to represent a British Territorial U.S. Citizen and the copyright to his Proper Name as a Legal Person is owned by the British Crown Corporation.

And we can't escape that "legal presumption" by changing our name, unless we forsake our birthright and the name our parents gave us, because --- remember --- the name of our Lawful Person on the Land appears to be the same as the name of our Legal Person on the High Seas and Navigable Inland Waterways.

Our parents never recorded our birth on the land, didn't know they had to do that, unless by chance they published a Birth Announcement in the local newspaper. So the Brits came along and copyrighted our Proper Names as franchisees of the British Crown Corp and they have used that "ownership interest" as their excuse for everything else they've done here.

What to do?

We correct this "mistake" on their parts and draw a line between our Lawful Person and the British Territorial Legal Person by establishing our "standing" on the land..

After all, we actually owned and used our Proper Name, which was a creation and gift to us bestowed by our parents, before the Brits claimed any ownership interest in it. They didn't buy it from us or provide us any equitable payment in consideration of the theft of our identity and political status and personal estate--- so by international Law of the Sea, possession by pirates does not change ownership.

All we need to do is record and publish our ownership interest via a Land Recording Office or other public venue, such as the local newspapers, and re-convey our Good Name back to the Land Jurisdiction of this country.

But now we get down to the final point of all this: you can't leap directly to the soil jurisdiction from the jurisdiction of the High Seas. You can't get there from here pertains to this situation.

You have to retrace the pathway from the Municipal Maritime Jurisdiction to the British Territorial High Seas to the Land Jurisdiction of this country (State) to the soil jurisdiction of your County.

And then, you are finally and totally home again, an American in America, ready to go chin to chin.

Knowing all of this, knowing how it works, we chose to "repopulate" our States of the Union first, because we connected international sea to international land, and then connected international land to national soil. Step by logical step, as is necessary.

Once people declare, record, and publish their identity and political status and standing --- on the Land of their State of the Union, they are automatically placed within the County where they live and come home to where they belong in sum total. There is no need to fight to take back the over 3,000 counties and then take back the 50 States.

Our States and Counties never went anywhere. They aren't lost. There is nothing to fight over. Our national jurisdiction still stands as long as we do.

Each one of us was commandeered and shanghaied, so that our institutions of government were left vacant and "presumed to be in interregnum" for over 160 years, and our credit and our country have both been abused by foreign employees subjecting us to their own foreign laws and evading their constitutional obligations.

There are those running around like chickens in a poultry yard, squawking and preaching "revolution" and setting up phony "republics" that have no standing in law and no historical provenance. Many of these people are sincerely confused and some are agent provocateurs trying to give the Brits an excuse to come in here, claim "insurrection" and (openly) enforce martial law.

It's important to remember that they have been operating under martial law since 1789 and have been fraudulently occupying our entire country under martial law since 1860. We, Americans, are owed The Law of Peace and protection as we come back home and take up the responsibilities of self-governance.

Alone among all the various patriot groups and efforts, The American States Assembly has discerned the history and the law, and chosen the narrow and difficult path that is the only way home. Now, we undertake the even more daunting responsibilities of Self-Governance.

If you have felt that you were in a strange and foreign land where nothing makes sense any more --- you are right. Our British and Municipal Subcontractors have trafficked us into foreign jurisdictions and subjected us to their own foreign forms of law, evaded their duties under our Constitutional contracts with them, and engaged in illegal armed racketeering on our shores. We have been in The Land of Oz.

But now it is time to wake up, shake off the dust, and come home.

Every asset that these Pikers have used as collateral for their debts belongs to us, and so does all the credit that they raised by "securitizing" and "monetizing" everything in sight.

And Kansas, Dorothy, tornadoes and all, still belongs to us.

-----

See this article and over 3800 others on Anna's website here: [www.annavonreitz.com](http://www.annavonreitz.com)

To support this work look for the Donate button on this website.