

International Public Notice: Notice from the Solicitor General

By Anna Von Reitz



Please note that in the entire structure of the U.S. Government (the British Territorial Subcontractor operating under The Constitution of the United States of America) there is only one office and one officer who is obligated and responsible for knowing the actual Law and performing upon it.

That Officer is the Solicitor General.

Unlike the hordes of Bar Attorneys clogging the arteries of every courthouse, the Solicitor General (who may also be a Bar Member and conversant with Maritime and Admiralty law in addition to actual Public and International Law) must know and practice non-statutory Law, that is, Common Law in Courts of Record.

Unknown to most Americans, their system of Law still exists--- running quietly in tandem with the monstrous and far more obvious machinery of the so-called "administrative courts" that have been foisted off on us.

The Solicitor General is charged with defending the U.S. Government if and when it is accused of wrong-doing under the actual Law -- which is rare, but ultimately very important.

Throughout the following Notice you will see the repeated use of the words "lawful" and "unlawful" as opposed to "legal" and "illegal". This is because the Solicitor General operates in Law, not mere Legalities.

So with this little background, here is the text of a Notice from the Office of the Solicitor General:

U.S. Department of Justice
Office of the Solicitor General
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington DC 20530
February 20, 2025

Multilayer Restrictions on the Removal of Administrative Law Judges Declared Unconstitutional

Washington, D.C.— The Department of Justice (DOJ) has formally determined that multiple layers of removal restrictions for Administrative Law Judges (ALJs) in 5 U.S.C. 1202(d) and 7521(a) violate the Constitution. The Department will no longer defend these restrictions in court and has affirmed this position in ongoing litigation.

Constitutional Violations & Judicial Overreach

In *Free Enterprise Fund v. PCAOB*, 561 U.S. 477 (2010), the Supreme Court ruled that multilayer protection from removal violates Article II by unlawfully restricting the President's executive authority. The ruling clarified that the President must not be restricted in his ability to remove executive officers, including inferior officers such as ALJs.

Further, statutory provisions restricting the President's ability to remove principal officers—who in turn are blocked from removing inferior executive officers—are unconstitutional. The DOJ has determined that ALJs operating under these unlawful protections lack any valid judicial standing.

Implications & Trump's Article II Authority

Pursuant to Article II of the Constitution, the President has the full authority and obligation to:

- Immediately terminate all unlawful ALJs operating in federal tribunals.
- Override unconstitutional restrictions that hinder executive authority.
- Restore full due process protections for the American people by removing unlawful administrative courts.

Justice Neil Gorsuch has extensively criticized the use of unconstitutional administrative proceedings that bypass the constitutional right to a jury trial and unlawfully strip people of their property without due process. He has stated:

"Government agencies have been acting as judge, jury, and executioner—without any lawful authority."

BAR Guild Control & Fraudulent Securities

The DOJ has further identified the unlawful influence of private BAR guild members controlling these corporate tribunals, which are:

- ✓ Operating under statutory fraud rather than constitutional law.
- ✓ Engaged in securities trading via Court Registry Investment Systems (CRIS).
- ✓ Facilitating land title fraud through Land Registries, Westpac, ASIC, AFSA, and others.

The Clearfield Doctrine (2008) confirms that when government agencies trade securities, they forfeit their sovereign immunity and become private entities engaging in commerce. Unlawful administrative courts are operating as for-profit corporate entities, in direct violation of:

- The Constitution's separation of powers
- The right to due process & jury trials
- Federal law prohibiting unauthorized securities trading

The President Must Act Now

Given these findings, President Trump holds the full authority under Article II to:

- ?| Dissolve unconstitutional administrative courts.
- ?| Fire ALJs operating without constitutional standing.
- ?| Shut down unlawful securities trading schemes.
- ?| Restore due process, property rights, and financial justice to the people.

The NACC and other corruption commissions have failed to investigate these systemic violations. The DOJ calls for immediate corrective action to dismantle this unlawful system.

DOJ Position & Next Steps

The DOJ will no longer defend these unconstitutional restrictions in court and

reaffirms that:

ALJs have no lawful judicial standing.

President Trump has the authority to dissolve these administrative courts immediately.

All fraudulent securities trading and land registry fraud must be exposed and prosecuted.

For further inquiries, please contact:

U.S. Department of Justice

Office of the Solicitor General

950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington DC 20530

Of note Chief Justice Neil Gorsuch

Justice Neil Gorsuch's Critiques

Justice Neil Gorsuch has repeatedly exposed the unlawful practices of administrative courts and BAR-led systems.

His critiques emphasize the need for constitutional adherence and the rejection of statutory overreach.

In *Ramos v. Louisiana* (2020), Justice Gorsuch stated:

***'The Sixth Amendment's right to a trial by jury is incorporated against the States under the Fourteenth Amendment, and it requires a unanimous verdict to convict a defendant of a serious offense.'**

In his critique of administrative overreach, Gorsuch emphasized the dangers of agencies acting as both prosecutor and judge. He noted:

***'Today, the Court places a tombstone on Chevron no one can miss.

In doing so, the Court returns judges to interpretative rules that have guided federal courts since the Nation's founding.'**

Justice Gorsuch further highlighted that BAR members, as creatures of statutes, cannot practice law or simultaneously act as witnesses and attorneys.

Their authority is limited to corporate rules and does not extend to lawful courts of record.

Of note Chief Justice Neil Gorsuch states

BAR member Chief Justice :Neil. Gorsuch Demands fellow BAR FARA Agents -- my immediate demand, wish, and order that you restore all that has been unlawfully taken without constitutionally mandated due process

SEC v. Jarkey

Docket Number: 22-859

Date Argued: 11/29/23

JUSTICE GORSUCH:

Please take notice that as agency workers, state judges, presiding judges, state legislators, etc... that you are bound by the Constitutions that you have all sworn to uphold, and from this time forward please be advised that taking children, cars, houses, weapons, rights, property, etc..without a trial by jury in a court of record following the course of the common law is unlawful.

Please also take further notice that attorneys, who don't have their name on the line, as they are not in positions of service and contract with the people, presenting you with the idea that it's acceptable to trample the people's rights by device or artifice does not in any way remove your responsibility for your wrongdoings.

Furthermore, there is another element of wrong being committed when you are working in a federal program and make money outside of your normal salary for carrying out the functions of that program, leaving one with unclean hands on top of taking property or rights from the people without right! All past cases that bypassed the common law are unlawful.

Therefore, it is my immediate demand, wish, and order that you restore all that has been unlawfully taken without constitutionally mandated due process, and notify all those who were harmed, or you agree that any wrong that is done in this regard in the future, or that has not been corrected from past trespasses, is done purposely, with full knowledge, intent and malice, and will be recognized as such by the People, whom you swore to serve and protect.

This notice is sent to you in the peace and love of Jesus Christ, that you may repent and do works worthy of the same.

Maxim: "Judicial notice is a form of evidence."

Mann v Mann, 172 P. 2d 369, 375, 76 Cal. App. 2d 32.

Those not learned in the Law may need a bit of interpretation.

The Solicitor General is basically telling the U.S. Government that he won't defend them from claims arising from the use and abuse of so-called "administrative courts". Though he doesn't go into the details, everyone being addressed knows that these administrative courts have been engaged in crimes of personage and unlawful contracting.

He refers to this situation euphemistically as "bypassing the common law" and encourages the courts to cease operating administrative courts, stop the personage crimes, stop the false claims in commerce, stop the resulting illegal seizures of property, etc., etc., etc., or else.

This is the most important letter from any Solicitor General since the Civil War.

He supports the position of the Trump Administration that the President has the right and duty to shut these courts down and recoup the damage they have done -- to the extent possible.

Unless the courts want to play Russian Roulette, this is the end of "lawfare" as it has been practiced in The United States.

We encourage all the people in all the countries who have been harmed by the same practices of personage and barratry in courts of foreign jurisdiction to write to their respective Solicitor Generals and urge them to take similar action.

If the Solicitor Generals won't defend them, the Rotters have no defense, and can be easily overturned and subjected to charges of inland piracy, conspiracy against the Constitutions, unlawful conversion, personage and barratry, treason, racketeering, malicious prosecution and other felonies.

Though gently worded, this letter basically says --- you've been committing crimes. If you don't cease and desist, shut down these courts, stop these practices, and try to make amends, our office will stand aside and let the people whose trust you have betrayed make an end to you. All the layers of legislated protection won't work against the actual Law, and you're on the wrong side of it.

This letter is, in effect, a dire warning for the members of the Bar, who are, as

Supreme Court Justice Gorsuch describes it, "creatures of statutes" whose authority is limited to corporation statutes, codes, and rule-making, but who have nonetheless been usurping upon living people and impersonating them to promote racketeering, extortion, and unlawful confiscation of property under color of law.

Translation: these administrative courts are committing property crimes against the very people they are hired and entrusted to protect and doing so using deceitful practices that are legal but not lawful.

As the letter also points out, the British Territorial Confederate States -- that is, States of States, are prohibited by the Fourteenth Amendment from withholding Due Process and Trial by Jury (even though the Fourteenth Amendment was never ratified by our States of the Union and does not apply to average Americans) -- it remains a controlling rule and dictum for the U.S. Government, its departments, agencies, and officers --- and also applies to the British Territorial State of State Courts.

These same State of State Courts have been denying due process by use of deceitful semantics, by deliberately misaddressing living people as similarly named corporate franchises, and by failure to disclose their own actual nature and authority, as well as by "bypassing" the Common Law owed to the living people, and not providing trial by jury.

As typical examples of the semantic deceit involved -- a "jury trial" is not the same as a trial by jury of one's peers. A "Confederate State" which is often simply shortened and called a "State" is not the same as a State of the Union, which is an actual State. A Municipal Corporation called "MORTON S STANLEY" is not the same as the living man called Morton Shelby Stanley. Simply walking into a courtroom does not imply any contract, yet these courts have been freely interpreting any "appearance" in their domain as consent to contract with them. These and similar abuses have been common throughout the judicial system.

This letter from the Solicitor General sounds the death knell on all of this criminal mischief and on the criminal conflicts of interest provided by the Court Registration Investment System which the officers of these courts have been running as a "sure thing" rigged investment scheme to pad their own retirements.

The so-called Administrative Courts have a 96% conviction rate, owing to the fact that the DEFENDANTS these courts preferentially choose to address are already pre-condemned as debtors and criminals under the Fourteenth Amendment. Betting on the result of an individual court case as a conviction is thus a 96% sure thing investment, and when individual court cases are monetized and bundled in tranches, the returns are monotonously reliable.

The Bar Attorneys and Court Officers have been, in effect, betting on the conviction of the DEFENDANTS, while they are themselves acting as judge, jury, and executioners.

Any possibility of a fair trial is thus moderated against by personal self-interest and avarice on the part of the attorneys, judges, and clerks running these administrative courts.

All these issues and more are covered, politely, but effectively in the text of the Solicitor General's letter and for those familiar with the errors and wrong-doing being addressed there is no mistaking the intent.

These Administrative Courts are being shut down for Cause.
The actual Law and the actual Courts are about to take center stage.

With all grace, Notice to Agents is Notice to Principals; Notice to Principals is Notice to Agents.

Issued by:
Anna Maria Riezinger -- Fiduciary
The United States of America
In care of: Box 520994
Big Lake, Alaska 99652
February 25th 2025

See this article and over 5200 others on Anna's website here: www.annavonreitz.com

To support this work look for the Donate button on this website.