

# Launching your documents correction

By Anna Von Reitz



----- Forwarded message -----

From: Anna von Reitz <[avannavon@gmail.com](mailto:avannavon@gmail.com)>

Date: Fri, Dec 26, 2025 at 12:19 PM

Subject: Fwd: Launching your documents correction

To: <[sdipietro@ajc.state.ak.us](mailto:sdipietro@ajc.state.ak.us)>, <[ammers@gci.net](mailto:ammers@gci.net)>, <[postmaster@ajc.state.ak.us](mailto:postmaster@ajc.state.ak.us)>, <[jordan.shilling@alaska.gov](mailto:jordan.shilling@alaska.gov)>, <[membree@akcourts.gov](mailto:membree@akcourts.gov)>, <[pio@supremecourt.gov](mailto:pio@supremecourt.gov)>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Anna von Reitz <[avannavon@gmail.com](mailto:avannavon@gmail.com)>

Date: Fri, Dec 26, 2025 at 12:13 PM

Subject: Fwd: Launching your documents correction

To: <[sdipietro@ajc.state.ak.us](mailto:sdipietro@ajc.state.ak.us)>, <[ammers@gci.net](mailto:ammers@gci.net)>

This information --- in the criminal code sense of the word -- has been provided to all the offices, officers, and persons noted below, as well as several hundred others. It is now being provided to you in your capacity as the contact for the Alaska Judicial Council. The facts discussed in this International Public Notice have been independently checked and verified by the Justices of the World Court, the Vatican Chancery Court, and the British High Court of Chancery. There is no doubt whatsoever that the following description of the situation is true and that it has been verified by all these courts and their officers, and moreover, there is no doubt that these facts and circumstances have been served Due Process for a period of seven (7) years, from 2007 to 2014, all of it exhaustively published and presented and tracked and confirmed.

The entire district court system residing in the States of the Union is ending; its tenure from May of 1865 to today has ended in disgrace and criminality against the American people -- the same people that all federal citizens are supposed to serve, whether in the capacity of British Territorial U.S. Citizens --- the condition of all Bar Esquires, or, in the condition of all Municipal Roman "citizens of the United States" working for the Federal Civil Service.

The recent "attack" of Municipal STATE OF ALASKA TROOPERS acting as a "local government" for the State of Alaska Administration against me and against many other Alaskans has been promoted using the idea that we owed "property taxes" for performances by the bankrupt MATANUSKA-SUSTINA BOROUGH. In fact, the only contract in existence enabling the MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH to exist here and operate in Alaska at all, is a contract between the British Territorial State of Alaska, Inc. and its employees, and the Roman Municipal STATE OF ALASKA, INC. enshrined as The State of Alaska Constitution.

These two groups of Federal Citizens/citizens agreed to a mutual services and benefits pact in the form of The State of Alaska Constitution and that service contract in no way applies to me or any other Alaskan.

As I am not and have never been a Federal Citizen nor a Federal citizen of either kind, not a British Subject, it remains before the Alaska Judicial Council to forswear any pretense that I owed the MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH any "property taxes" at any time, and to instead consider the fact that I am the Primary and Preferential Creditor of this bankrupt entity, a person owed back every penny of tax ever paid to the MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH, INC., as property taxes or title fees or any other cost extracted by their foreign administration or paid to them under duress and by mistake. What is self-evidently clear is that I am not a British Subject, and absent that status, my name cannot be consigned to the status of a Roman Inferior Trust, either.

I am the victim of aggravated identity theft and breach of trust practiced against me by Ms. Ahearn, Mr. Hanley, and the "district" court improperly operating in Anchorage, Alaska. This is a matter for the Chief Justice (made responsible by the same State of Alaska Constitution referenced above) and the Alaska Judicial Council which I am holding responsible for this gross misapplication of law and practice of personage and barratry against someone who is totally and naturally outside the bonds of the district courts and district court system as a whole, and who is contractually owed "good faith service" from all persons concerned.

And I am not alone. The fact that the MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH, INC. is a bankrupt entity speaks to the further fact that its bankruptcy was occasioned because of its indebtedness to me and the other living people --- not federal "persons" --- and the bankruptcy trustees owe me full recognition and recoupment rights.

At this point, my home has been illegally confiscated and seized upon by Third Party "property managers" working for the British Crown, when the British Crown has never had any valid "public salvage interest claim" or other cause to "mistake" my identity as a Wisconsinite living in Alaska. My Mother cleared up any misunderstanding about my identity as a Wisconsinite and not any form of "United States" citizen, many years ago. It is a matter of public record and has been widely discussed and repeatedly presented to the United States District Attorneys that have cycled through this state. The purported "tax sale" that resulted from a foreign Clerk's Deed did not take into account the vast amount of money already owed to my estate by the MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH, INC. and my repeated claim under duress of the Federal remedy -- the debt swap provisions of Title 12, whereby the service fees owed by a British Subject named "Anna Riezinger" or some form thereof, merely presumed to exist and merely presumed to be a ward of the British Monarch, should have been swapped against the debts owed to me by the State of Alaska and STATE OF ALASKA organizations, that have both benefited themselves by holding my property in trust as collateral backing their debts, without my knowledge or consent.

This is the dirty pile of laundry you have all stepped in, to your disgrace and detriment.

We, the actual, factual living Alaskans live in Alaska, a State of the Union, fully enrolled as such since October 1st 2020 -- with such enrollment made retroactive to the day that Alaska purportedly entered Territorial "Statehood" back in January of 1959 --- have "returned" and you are all under notice and demand to recognize us, which has already been fully admitted by the U.S. Supreme Court and upheld extraterritorially.

This action accepting enrollment of Alaska as a State of the Union by the properly identified and provenanced living Alaskans supersedes all mere legal presumptions or suppositions entertained by foreign persons residing here.

You are being given Due Process and Due Notice and true reference to the pertinent law and you are under demand to return my inheritance unharmed. What you have done and allowed to be done here is **criminal**. Our law, the actual law of the land, does not provide for any benefit for "wronged Third Parties", such as the Person(s) of Pacific Rim, LLC. It provides under international law for the prompt return of estate property belonging to those "returned over the sea" --- no matter how long they have been away, or in the case, merely presumed to be absent and also presumed to be adopting a foreign political status by default and misrepresentation.

Any recoupment made by the Bankruptcy Trustees of the MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH, INC. is owed to me, not by me. Any restitution owed to Pacific Rim, LLC/PACIFIC RIM, LLC is owed by the Bankruptcy Trustees who misidentified me as a British Territorial Subject and misidentified me and my property assets as part of a Roman Inferior Trust franchise of the MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH, INC.

Immediate return of my property to my possession is required to prevent further damage and cost and inconvenience, which will inevitably be charged to the State of Alaska, Incorporated, as the Party most responsible for this continuing and obstinate misadministration of justice and deliberate, knowing misapplication of law. See following International Public Notice.

So said, so signed, so sealed this 26th Day of December 2025:

Anna Maria Riezinger--a private name, not for foreign public use, not subject to British Crown copyright.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Anna von Reitz <[avannavon@gmail.com](mailto:avannavon@gmail.com)>  
Date: Wed, Dec 24, 2025 at 12:18 PM  
Subject: Re: Launching your documents correction  
To: Adriaan Rudolph Fondse <[ucc1.law@gmail.com](mailto:ucc1.law@gmail.com)>  
Cc: <[postmaster@hummingbirdman.nl](mailto:postmaster@hummingbirdman.nl)>

### International Public Notice: Concerning Misapplication of Law

The fact is that we are not constrained by Third Party contracts at birth or at any other time.

The problem is that we don't have a name and the Given Name is not ours unless we accept it.

If we accept it, we must accept it conditionally in commerce, because we do not want to be held responsible for the spending of any foreign state.

If we don't accept it, we run the risk of being disregarded as a donor-beneficiary.

So there, neatly summed up, is the crux.

And here is the answer: no living man engages in commerce, therefore, no code, rule, or law merchant applies to the living man.

Commerce by definition is business carried out between two or more corporations.

The presumptions of the Federal Subcontractors and their state-of-state franchises are thus unjustifiable absent impersonation, a condition that must be fully disclosed and freely and knowingly agreed to; in addition, in the particular case of the Americans, no government entity has regulatory authority over commerce taking place within the borders of a State of the Union.

The **only** Federal "regulatory authority" over commerce ever granted is summed up by the **interstate commerce clause** present in all three federal constitutions. So even actual commercial business taking place within the borders of a single state is unregulated.

The entire scheme seeking to impersonate living people appears to be an effort to bring them under the regulatory authority granted by the interstate commerce clause -- a gross and fanciful overreach of any intended granted authority.

Are you a corporation or choosing freely and voluntarily and knowingly to operate as one, when you buy a cup of coffee?

No.

Is the person selling you that cup of coffee actually a corporation or knowingly acting as one? No.

No, and consider this --- none of the elements of lawful contract exist in any of the scenarios, systems, or suppositions that people are attempting to apply to any of this, so that tells you again, that contract law and commercial law are both foreign to living people and have been misapplied.

People cannot engage in contracts because people are not immortal; people can only make agreements in good faith.

Contract requires the ability to perform in life or death, hence the necessity to create and use corporations "representing" living flesh in order to subject living flesh to the system of contract law.

We are all bound as was the prophet, Jeremiah, and cannot control and tell from day to day which foot will go before the other.

Unlike God, we can't promise (as in "promissory note") to do something tomorrow, much less thirty years from now.

This tells you explicitly, and you have "cause to know" that contracts like mortgages, are not in the province of living flesh and cannot be applied to living men.

The Universal Postal Union operates according to code, not law. It applies only to those employed by the Postal Union and to a very limited extent to those who freely choose to use the postal service.

As that word, "service" implies, it is convenience offered for sale like any other service and Postal Union "law" cannot be supposed to apply beyond the reasonable and customary limits

All the wrangling over Erie Railroad v Tompkins is nonsense, too.

The court in this case had the temerity to state something that is commonplace and directly observable to any schoolboy --- of course, the Federal Government has no Common Law and could not have any Common Law. It never did and still doesn't and the fact that the court observed this fact has no bearing on the price of tea in China.

The Common Law ---unless you euphemistically "stretch" the meaning of the term to include such things as Martial Law--- is the law of living men, and none of the Federal entities can have any such law, because all Federal entities operate exclusively in international or global jurisdictions. All such entities and the personnel serving them have to operate as persons, not people, as a result.

The province of the Common Law was and is reserved to the lawful State Government, which in this country is reserved to the State Assemblies.

The fact that our lawful government vested in the State Assemblies was not in Session meant that the people of this country lost access to the Common Law, which then prompted the courts to embark on this long and sordid business of impersonating everyone and subjecting them via this pretense to the law of corporations and contracts.

As Milligan Ex Parte provides (2 USC 71) when the people's courts survive and the Common Law becomes available again, the impersonation ruse must cease and the business of men must be separated from the business of corporations. As all fifty State Assemblies are now in General Assembly, that return to Common Law has been achieved, if only as Courts of Record in some cases.

The problem has been forcing the existing commercial courts to recognize the limitations of their own presumptions. They garnered too much power over both living flesh and private property via these False Presumptions, and they don't want to give it up.

So there it is, a matter of rogue courts failing to recognize their limitations and lack of actual authority. We, living people, are not willingly, knowingly, and voluntarily impersonating ourselves, accepting impersonation by any other power, or participating in commerce ---interstate or otherwise.

Now that our State Assemblies are in operation, we again have access to our own customary Common Law, and both the District Courts and their State-of-State franchise courts need to be dissolved and/or strictly limited to addressing actual corporations, absent any supposition that living people are represented by public trusts operated in their names without their knowledge or consent.

Is that plain and clear enough for everyone to grasp?

If not, I can belabor the points individually or collectively until hell freezes over, and the facts will still remain as they are.

The current system was imposed as an "emergency" matter absent any actual granted "emergency powers" and has continued to operate without any lawful granted authority ever since May of 1865; the longevity of the deception in no way clothes it with any rectitude or excuse for continuance.

The pertinent Maxims of Law are: "Let him who will be deceived, be deceived." -- which applies only until fraud and overreach is discovered, as it now has been; "When what is true comes, fiction of law disappears." --- which has now happened; and "Possession by pirates does not change ownership."

This **misapplication of law** is what we are dealing with, not any fine points of who is holding the hot potato or why.

Living people are living people and they are not subject to the laws of contracts or corporations -- they are not and never have been; and the creation of public trusts operated "in their names" without their knowledge and consent and then used as a device to unnaturally subject living people to the laws of contracts and corporations is nothing but fraud and aggravated identity theft and has resulted in vast crimes of illegal confiscation, unlawful taxation, personage and barratry.

Every attorney in America deserves to be arrested, charged, and thrown in jail for their willing participation in this farce against justice.

And now, brothers, can we have a big, "Amen!" and an end to the underlying evil and untrue legal presumptions that have been the cause of so much injustice and suffering? No public trusts named after living Americans exist. No action undertaken by any court based on the false presumption that such public trusts --- or shall we be more blunt? --- any such "public salvage interest" exists, is valid.

All Americans who have been incarcerated for non-violent crimes and statutory infractions must be released.

All Americans who have been presumed to be "taxpayers" must be released from foreign tax obligations of all kinds, including mortgages.

All the homes and lands illegally confiscated from Americans based on the foregoing false legal presumptions and suppositions must be returned to the living and the living people must be made whole to the extent possible.

All Americans born or naturalized in this country must be presumed to be Americans, not British Subjects, not employees or dependents of the Holy Roman Empire.

Is that all clear and concise enough for everyone? Can we stop trying to "reframe" the situation we find ourselves in, and stop making vain appeals to other forms of law that don't fit the foot any better? Postal law? Really?

That's all nonsense, and it arises as people desperately try to find some acceptable basis to move onward without, however, facing the actual truth -- that a legal system has been operating unlawfully and in gross breach of trust for over 150 years, right under our noses, and those operating this legal system have committed gross crimes of personage and barratry against the interests of the American People whom they are contractually bound to serve "in good faith".

That's the actual and factual truth, and like it or not, correction must be taken and restitution paid, and the sooner the better.

Prolonging injustice and criminality once discovered and failing to take prompt action against it becomes a crime in itself, and those who delay justice in this matter may themselves be considered accomplices to these crimes against humanity if they don't move off the dime.

Waffling around and acting as poltroons stabbing at legalistic smoke rings and "interpretations" and arguing about what form of law applies, when it is perfectly obvious that no "federal" law applies to the living people, and therefore, no federal franchise "state of state" law applies, either --- is the height of evasion, stupidity or delusion.

The Common Law of the nation-states applies to the people, and no other form of law seeking to redefine living people as incorporated entities or foreign "persons" can ever be valid. What begins with a false premise, ends as a false premise, no matter how one embroiders it.

A pig wearing lipstick is still a pig.

As our courts of record are all standing and our trial courts are forming rapidly, the Common Law of the living people is invoked and accessible and operational again.

As everyone reading this knows, I have fought long and hard to bring this misapplication of law and the illegal and unlawful functioning of the courts to the attention of all concerned. The facts have been fully and exhaustively established and full Due Process has been applied; the only remaining obstacle to understanding and proper corrective action is the sheer lunacy that prompted the inception of this legal system in the first place.

People find it hard to imagine that grown men endowed with logic conspired to pretend that living people are corporations, in order to subject living people to the law of corporations and contracts.

It reeks of little children pretending that Johnny is a goat and Mindy is a pig; you can play games of Pretend all you like, and still never change a man into a corporation.

This fanciful pretension, however, unlawfully converting the identity of living men into that of public trusts, is precisely what our predecessors indulged in, and what the courts are still doing now; it was inexcusable and fraudulent then, and is just as inexcusable and fraudulent now.

Let us graciously, with humility, and alacrity--- put an end to this practice, and an end to the court system(s) dependent on this outrageous and obviously False Presumption. This puts an end to all "district" courts operating outside the District of Columbia. Immediately. Permanently.

The only work remaining for these courts and their officers residing within the States of the Union is their obligation to self-correct and exonerate all the cases that they put in place under these described False Pretenses, and provide restitution to all the people they have harmed. Any business they may have administering the needs of legitimate corporations formed in the District of Columbia may be pursued within the borders of the District of Columbia.

Nobody here is operating as a corporation; nobody here is represented by any public trust. We don't belong to the British Monarch or the Pope and are in possession of our own sovereignty guaranteed by international treaty and venerable commercial service contracts.

So -- everyone take your cajones in hand and do what is right. Admit the wrong. Correct it. Respect the Common Law that all living men are heir to. Stop the senseless attempts at evasion and excuses and reinterpretations. You've been operating court systems like rigged gambling casinos, you've been caught at it, and that is all there is to it. Nothing more "special" than that.

Your own law demands that you be keel hauled and/or gibbeted for what you've done; we don't care about that. It isn't our law. We're not obligated to enforce it on you.

Perhaps it's time that you all just stopped acting like evil children and stopped pretending about anything at all --- time to come home to the actual factual world, dear old Kansas, where everything may be black and white, but is nonetheless not subject to interpretation or judicial discretion.

And one other point: my decision and agreement to operate as a person-- an officer-- of the Federation of States does not make me a "federal" person. The Federation of States existed prior to any and all Federal Vendors and is a separate unincorporated corporate entity, a lawful instrumentality of the States of the Union. I don't work for or under the direction of any federal vendors of services.

The reason that the "Federal" Government is called the "Federal" Government is because it is obligated to work for the Federation of States. All Federal Subcontractors receive their delegated powers from and through the Federation of States and when the contracts of federal service providers are defaulted upon, those same delegated powers return to the Federation of States.

Thus, I am working for the employer of the federal service vendors as an officer operating in international jurisdiction, to bring correction and direction to their operations. I am an American working for the American Government.

As long as people insist on playing childrens' games for real, I crack the whip.

Issued by:  
Anna Maria Riezinger -- Fiduciary  
The United States of America  
In care of: Box 520994  
Big Lake, Alaska 99652

December 23rd 2025

-----  
See this article and over 5600 others on Anna's website here: [www.annavonreitz.com](http://www.annavonreitz.com)  
To support this work look for the Donate button on this website.