

“The Constitution is a LAW for rulers and people equally in war and peace.

by Judge Anna von Reitz, Alaska
Posted on November 7, 2014

“Emergency does not create power. Emergency does not increase granted power or remove or diminish restrictions imposed upon power granted or reserved. The Constitution was adopted IN a period of grave emergency. Its grants of power to the Federal Government and its limitations of the power of the States were determined in the light of emergency and they are not altered by emergency.” [Emphasis added] Home Building & Loan Assoc. v Blaisdell 290 US 426 (1934).

The Governors acting in 1933 and the Respondents, Members of Congress, acting now have no “special” or “extra” powers during an emergency, declared or undeclared, yet that is what they specifically and dishonestly claimed in 1933 and what they are continuing to claim as the excuse for their infringements against The Constitution of the United States of America today. Likewise the Governors of the 50 States United acting in 1933 had no new, special, different, or greater claim upon the resources of their States or upon the Citizens of those States as a result of any economic emergency.

“The Constitution of the United States is a LAW for rulers and people equally in war and peace, and covers with the shield of its protection ALL classes of men, at ALL times, and under ALL circumstances. No doctrine, involving more pernicious consequences, was EVER invented by the wit of man than that any of its provisions can be suspended during any of the great exigencies of the government. Such a doctrine leads directly to anarchy or to despotism.” [Statement of Opinion, U.S. Supreme Court, Annals 1866, in response to a new class of proposed infringing Reconstruction legislation that was similarly promoted on the basis “national emergency”.]

Likewise, powers and property interests that the Governors didn’t possess prior to the “emergency” did not magically accrue to them as the result of any emergency, economic or otherwise. Their action pledging the “full faith and credit” of the 50 States and their citizenry was not allowed prior to the bankruptcy and was not made possible because of it. As in all cases of fraud, the victims were not notified of any such agreement being made in their behalf, for the simple reason that the Citizens of the now 50 States if allowed to consider their options under conditions of full disclosure, would never have agreed.

——and about mortgages——

As one common and particularly egregious example, under this system the Promissory Note signed by people applying for a mortgage is (unknown to them) legal tender. The bank or other “mortgage broker” charges off the full value of the supposed “loan” as being “Accepted for Value” against the person’s Bonded Credit Account, and then, turns around, pretending that they have actually risked their own money and given the victims a “loan” the banks demand repayment of a “debt” that has already been discharged, plus 30 years of interest, insurance, and so on.

Between the tender mercies of the frauds perpetrated by the “federal government” and the self-interested rape by the banks, Americans were systematically deprived of most of their resources and net worth, even though they were (and are) self-evidently the only source of wealth creation for all the predatory legal fictions represented by the “federal government” and the “banks” and the “banking associations”. The text of this whole arrangement is recorded in H.J. Res 192, 73rd Congress, First Session, principally prior enrolled as Public Law, U.S. Statutes at Large, Vol. 1, Public Acts, 3rd Congress, 2nd Session, Chapter 48.

Anyone doubting the truth of this has only to haul out their “check” book and read the very, very fine microprint embedded in the broken line below their signature confirming that the Signature on their check is the “Authorizing” Signature, i.e., authorizing the bank to consider them a “banker” as recorded in Title 12 of Federal Code and to (mis)use their authority to both create the debt credit and the debt discharge, a process known as “twinning” which is extremely illegal, but left to be practiced without oversight or audit of the Federal Reserve Banks.