

In Regard to "Burning Question" Below:

Since the Constitution was based in Common Law, if you abolished the Common Law wouldn't that extinguish the Federal Government too?



by Anna Von Reitz

The problem is the US Government, not the Federal Government. Two different animals entirely. Any attack against the Common Law or any part of the Law of the Land is an attack against the Republic. Hasn't anyone been listening to date? US Government is the problem. US Government = Law of the Sea = Sea Jurisdiction. Federal Government = Law of the Land (Constitution) = Land Jurisdiction. Is that how they finished the corporate setup and dissolved government? Nobody "dissolved" our government. The various units of government either stupidly or with malice aforethought incorporated. Any time you incorporate anything it goes from the jurisdiction of the land to the foreign international jurisdiction of the sea. It removes from the Law of the Land and the guarantees of the Constitution to the Law of the Sea and the Dog-Eat-Dog of maritime and admiralty law. Not sure they could do that lawfully since the foundation of our laws came from Common Law.

The problem can be swiftly addressed in one of three ways:

(1) Bring enough pressure to bear to force the existing incorporated "Counties" and "States" to dissolve their corporations and function naturally as unincorporated business entities again; (2) Do an end-run around the existing incorporated "Counties" and "States" by organizing the landowners in each county and then each state as unincorporated body politics and electing parallel offices designated to operate in the land jurisdiction, as in "Sheriff on the Land" or "Judge on the Land" so that there can be no more confusion or obfuscation.

The offices of the land jurisdiction are all Public Offices that have been "vacant". The offices of the sea jurisdiction are all "similarly named" private corporate offices that have been used to mimmick the public offices---- allowing those in these private corporate offices to improperly wield the powers of public office without the responsibility of public office and also allowing them to abuse what merely appears to be a public office for their private gain.

The third option is to start over with a huge public discussion, a full disclosure of the political status options, and potentially an end to all separation of the land and sea jurisdictions owed to this country.

See this article and over 100 others on Anna's website here:www.annavonreitz.com